

**Cost of Replacing Bills Processing and Code Update Systems
Last Updated April 7, 2008**

State	Cost	Replacement Timeframe	Included in Cost¹	Source
Pennsylvania	\$6,000,000	2007 - 2009	Bill Processing, Bill Status, Journal, Committee Minutes, Code Update	Conversation with Propylon - Clyde Hatter
Oregon	\$3,000,000	2006- 2008	Bills Processing, Journal, Committee Minutes	Conversation with Propylon - Clyde Hatter
Idaho	\$3,000,000	2007 - 2009	Bill Processing, Bill Status, Journal, Committee Minutes, Code Update	E-mail from NCSL
Maine	\$5,000,000	?	??	E-mail from NCSL
Florida (House Only)	System Costs \$2,900,000 Legal Expenses \$2+Million	2000-2001	??	E-mail from NCSL Dispute with the Contractor is the reason for the Legal Costs.
Illinois	\$8,000,000	1999 - 2003	Bill Processing, Bill Status, Journal, Committee Minutes, Code Update	E-mail from Illinois
Virginia	\$1,000,000	2004	?	Conversation with International Roll Call - Bill Schaffer
California	???	2006-2010	Bill Processing, Bill Status, Journal, Committee Minutes, Code Update	NCSL - NALIT News Letter

State	Cost	Replacement Timeframe	Included in Cost ¹	Source
North Dakota	\$4,648,224	2007 - 2009	Bill Processing, Bill Status, Journal, Committee Minutes, Code Update	E-mail from NCSL
Washington	???	1995 - 2003	Bill Processing, Bill Status, Journal, Committee Minutes, Code Update	NCSL - NALIT News Letter
Maryland	???	2004-2006	Bill Drafting, Engrossing and Enrolling	NCSL - NALIT News Letter

1. Note: Comparing functionality from one legislature to another is like comparing apples to oranges. For instance when one legislature says they have a bill status system, all they may have is a bill status report that is run each night. Where as the Montana Legislature has an online up to the minute bill status system. Online systems cost a lot more to develop and maintain than a reporting systems.

c43 H:\DATA\WP\Computer System Planning Council\Estimated Costs for Replacing Bill Processing and Code Update Systems.wpd