



Montana Legislative Services Division

Office of Research and Policy Analysis

TO: EQC members

FROM: Leanne Heisel

DATE: February 10, 2010

RE: HJR 30 study of fire suppression activity to date and options for progressing

At your January meeting, I indicated that I would provide you with a summary of your work so far on the HJR 30 study of fire suppression and solicit your comments and suggestions on where to go from here.

This document reviews the HJR 30 resolution; recaps the HJR 30 portion of your work plan and how you chose to conduct the study; reviews the agenda items and information you have heard and how that information relates to the Fire Suppression Committee's conclusions; reports 2010 climate predictions as released by the National Weather Service and what that may or may not mean for the 2010 fire season; and requests your guidance on how to proceed from this point.

HJR 30

To refresh your memory, HJR 30 requests that an interim committee:

- (1) analyze whether and how each of the recommendations made by the Fire Suppression Committee established by Chapter 1, Special Laws of September 2007, in its 2008 report to the 61st Legislature has been implemented and which of those recommendations should be amended or reinforced;
 - (2) examine additional needs of local and state fire suppression entities;
- (3) further investigate state and federal forest management policies, including how those policies may contribute to an increased number of wildfires, greater safety risk to firefighters and the public, and compromised effectiveness of fire suppression efforts, and avenues the Legislature may pursue to effect changes in those policies;
 - (4) examine the impact of climate change on forest lands; and
- (5) examine any other aspect of wildland fire suppression and land management that the interim committee determines to be appropriate.

WORK PLAN AND STUDY APPROACH

In your adopted work plan, you chose to conduct the HJR 30 study by monitoring FSC's recommendations in light of the 2009 and 2010 fire seasons, receiving analyses of DNRC's wildland fire suppression budget, monitoring implementation of federal wildland fire suppression initiatives, and developing recommendations, if you determine any are appropriate. Council members were also asked to read the Fire Suppression Committee's final report and, with

that information and your work plan in mind, request any specific information or presentations as the interim progresses.

Considering your work plan decisions, the wide ranging issues you are exploring this interim, your consistently busy agendas, and the relatively mild 2008 and 2009 fire seasons which have, naturally, modified the legislature's priorities, staff has not inundated you with repeats of Fire Suppression Committee information and analyses; rather provided reports and updates on: fire season costs; federal policies; WUI developments and agency rulemaking; and specific Council member requests.

STUDY ACTIVITY THROUGH FEBRUARY 2010

The following table illustrates the presentations you have received and related Fire Suppression Committee comments or recommendations.

EQC Meeting	Information Presented	Council request for follow-up or additional information	Associated FSC comments, predictions, recommendations
05/28-29/09	HJR 30 study details, Summary of FSC's work, presentation of work plan options	Council members to read FSC report, "The Price of Flame" and request specific follow-up information or pose questions to staff; no specific questions were received	The legislature must decide if it wants a committee to follow up on all the recommendations made by the FSC. Many do not need bills or laws implemented but there should be some entity to determine whether or not the recommendations are being followed up by other agencies and people.
09/10-11/09	 ► Update on SB 51 rulemaking (DNRC, DLI WUI development best practices and DLI fire mitigation construction techniques) ► Federal fire policy and state fire policy; similarities, differences ► DNRC Perspectives Regarding 2009 Federal Wildfire Policy Implementation ► 2009 Fire season update ► Review and discussion of "The Price of Flame" 	None received	WUI Comments Development in the wildland-urban interface will continue to increase without adequate controls on land development. Declining dedication of funds for fuels reduction projects and lack of landowner incentives to treat fuels on private land will ensure continued risk of complex wildland-urban interface fires. Without a concerted and coordinated effort from insurers to educate policyholders about their wildfire risks and offer incentives for properly mitigating their risks, many homeowners will continue to ignore the advisability of survivable space, placing themselves and firefighters at risk. According to a report provided for DNRC and FSC by Headwaters Economics (Appendix C and p. 47), the amount of money needed for fire suppression will continue to grow as additional homes are built in the wildland-urban interface. A large number of homeowners do little to protect their homes.

EQC Meeting	Information Presented	Council request for follow-up or additional information	Associated FSC comments, predictions, recommendations
	Headwaters Economics report, prepared for FSC, analyzing costs of fire suppression in the wildland-urban interface • DNRC update on SB 131: Designation of parcels in the WUI		the event of a fire year similar to 1910. A significant amount of money should not be dedicated to hazardous fuels reduction unless private property owners are compelled to manage their property to reduce wildfire risk either through enhanced incentives or required measures. WUI Recommendations (see attached) FSC made a number of specific recommendations to dealing with their concerns related to development and wildland fire mitigation in the WUI. Those are attached. State and Federal Policies While cooperation among local, state, and federal wildland fire agencies has by most accounts been excellent, greater divergence in fire management policies, strategies, and interpretation of values in need of protection may erode that cooperation and negatively impact suppression efforts in the state. Federal, state, and local officials must meet before and after every fire season to discuss fire suppression plans and policies and to review decisions that were made regarding policy, land management, cost sharing, and compensation to private entities and local fire and emergency response agencies. State and federal wildfire suppression agency officials must discuss their respective long-term wildfire policies and continue to identify any differences in policies so the state is prepared to deal with the differences during the wildfire season.
01/07-08/2010	 Update on 2009 fire season costs Use of unspent suppression appropriation 	Overview of trees and water evapotranspiration and impact on wildfire susceptibility	Costs When the special session convened on September 5, 2007, costs for the 2007 season amounted to \$80 million and were climbing. Once cost negotiations among all involved agencies had concluded, the state faced a liability of over \$40 million, more than twice the average amount calculated over a 7-year period.

EQC Meeting	Information Presented	Council request for follow-up or additional information	Associated FSC comments, predictions, recommendations
	National Fire Policy Conference report		HB 1 appropriated \$39 million from the state general fund to the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC) "for wildfire suppression and for wildfire disaster response and recovery activities in Montana", and \$3 million from the general fund to the Department of Military Affairs for the same purpose. When all factors are combined, fire suppression and the business aftermath are becoming increasingly difficult to manage and increasingly difficult for the state to fund. The traditional
			funding mechanism to pay state costs through a supplemental appropriation to DNRC was not viable for the costs associated with the 2007 fire season, resulting in the need for a special legislative session to appropriate the money. This prompted the question of who should pay the state's share into the future. The options are:
			 a. landowners in a designated wildland-urban interface; b. landowners who benefit from direct protection services and county cooperative assistance; c. all taxpayers through the state general fund;
			 d. insurance companies and other beneficiaries of fire suppression; or e. some combination of the above.
			Wildland fires are a part of life in Montana. Given the identified pressures and financial considerations, and pending any changes in federal fire policy, the outcome of future fire seasons is uncertain. The state must examine proposals to make changes to the status quo to positively impact fire suppression activities in the years to come.
			Increasing spending on fire suppression at the federal, state, and local levels will continue to divert funds away from potential fuels reduction projects.
			Declining federal assistance will contribute to the need for additional state funding to actively engage in fire suppression.

EQC Meeting	Information Presented	Council request for follow-up or additional information	Associated FSC comments, predictions, recommendations
			have more dangerous fires. Stress associated with longer wildland fire seasons will continue to rise, affecting landowners, firefighters, business owners, and local, state, and federal agency staff, as well as other members of the public. The Fire Suppression Committee recognizes that because of climactic conditions, rugged terrain, dense vegetation, concern for firefighter safety, and the nature of fire-dependent ecosystems, some fires cannot be extinguished, no matter what suppression strategy may be employed.

WHERE TO GO FROM HERE

The average fire seasons of 2008 and 2009 make comparing many of FSC's recommendations to what may be happening on the ground somewhat difficult. FSC was organized in response to recent lengthy, severe, and costly fire seasons and it was that perspective--as well as a state budget surplus--that prompted many of the committee's comments.

However, keeping a legislative eye trained on the subject provides some continuing education on costs and policy considerations involved when large-scale project fires and dangerous fire conditions develop. The conditions that alarm many fire managers and that alarmed the committee members still exist, even as fewer resources are available to respond to them.

One of FSC's primary concerns was that average fire seasons would lull citizens and policy makers into complacency, while trends in duration and severity of fires--not to mention diminishing funding sources with which to mitigate wildland fire and engage in fire suppression--suggest a need for heightened vigilance and creative thinking.

It is of course too early to make predictions about the 2010 fire season. When asked the question this time of year, fire managers often say "Ask me in November." So many factors, in addition to precipitation and temperatures, play into what happens between May and October--wind, lightning frequency, and human activity among them. Organizations that track climate trends, however, may offer some clues.

- The National Weather Service's Climate Prediction Center has released precipitation and temperature outlooks for 2010. I have enclosed color maps of those forecasts for your information. The maps are small, but the CPC's website provides larger views and all maps on one page. The website is:

 http://www.cpc.noaa.gov/products/predictions//multi_season/13_seasonal_outlooks/color/churchill.php. This link will also be available on EQC's website.
- According to CPC's outlook, warmer-than-average temperatures across Montana are probable from February through August, and there are generally equal chances for above-average, average, and below-average precipitation for most of the year, with possible below-average precipitation expected during July, August, and September.
- The narrative that accompanies the National Wildland Significant Fire Potential Outlook for the Northern Rockies prepared by the National Interagency Fire Center, headquartered in Boise, ID, states:

Current snow pack amounts west of the divide range from 50-75% of normal and little improvement is expected this spring. Above normal temperatures and below normal precipitation is expected, especially for western Montana and Idaho during February. Spring months during El Niño conditions also tend to be warmer than normal for the area, while precipitation amounts tend to be near or slightly below normal. These conditions could lead to an early snow melt and accelerate the drying of exposed fuels, leading to an early onset of fire season. After the snow melts, there will be a chance of pre-green up grass fires east of the divide in late April to mid-May.

At this point in the interim, Council members may consider what you have learned from reviewing FSC's work and the information presented during the last three meetings to determine how you wish to proceed. You have a number of options to discuss at your March meeting.

1. You may wish to continue to receiving follow-up information to be provided upon request, in a manner similar to previous meetings, keeping apprised of developments during the 2010 fire season, which may influence the kind of information in which you'll be interested.

- 2. Focus on the impacts of budget reductions and declining revenue on wildfire mitigation and fire suppression capabilities.
- 3. Revisit selected FSC comments or bill drafts in light of the 2010 fire season and consider revising them, offering new recommendations, or suggesting bill drafts or funding strategies.

5. Other?

In the meantime, please feel free to contact me with any questions or requests for information. My email is lheisel@mt.gov and my direct phone is 444-3593.

Cl2255 0047hsxc.