

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Loretta Seipert

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 475 Lolo, Mt. 59847

E-Mail: lseipert@gmail.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,


If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME:

LARRY Seipert 

ADDRESS:

192 Ann's Lane PO Box 1175

Bole Montana 59847

E-Mail:

lscipert@gmail.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME:

Niki SARDOT

ADDRESS:

Po Box 1074

Florence 59833

E-Mail:

miki@redquillranch.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: GARY MARBLET

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 16106

MISSOULA 59808

E-Mail: GARY@MARBLET.COM

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME:

LINDA FRAI *Linda Frai*

ADDRESS:

100 Hillview

Missoula MT 59803

E-Mail:

Hfy@bigsky.net

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Kene Blackler

ADDRESS: 119 Beverly

Missoula MT 59801

E-Mail: KBlackler@Qwest.net

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME:

John F. Peterson



ADDRESS:

8080 Spaulder Lane

Missoula Mt. 59808

E-Mail: _____

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME:

Wayne A. Peterson

ADDRESS:

8080 Spaulden Ln.

Missoula, MT 59808

E-Mail: _____

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: H Rusty VanOverbeck

ES Rusty VanOverbeck
ADDRESS: 18860 Moonlight Dr. Frenchtown 59834

E-Mail: RUSV@BigSky.net

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME:

Pauline Dean

ADDRESS:

2840 Santa Fe Ct. # 305

MSIA

E-Mail:

pollysplace@bresnan.net

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME:

Glenn Kowal

ADDRESS:

10079 Miller Creek Road

Missoula, MT 59813

E-Mail:

zibec@optimum.net

RECEIVED

APR 25 1997

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME:

Jon Baker

ADDRESS:

2017 W SUSSEX MISSOULA MT 59801

E-Mail:

JONATHAN EDWARD BAKER @ GMAIL.COM

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Mark J. Brady

ADDRESS: 1500 Cote Ln.

Missoula, MT 59808

E-Mail: markbrady@email.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Mark Brady

ADDRESS: 1500 Cote Ln.

Missoula, MT 59808

E-Mail: markbrady@presman.net

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Ethan Flevery

ADDRESS: 2400 Old Fort Rd Apt 365

Ms la 59804

E-Mail: gogri@gogri@gmail.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Tziel Kopetski

ADDRESS: 7373 Stonehaven Ave

Missoula, MT 59803

E-Mail: Kopetski@yahoo.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: VONDENE KOPETSKI

ADDRESS: 7373 STONEAAYEN AVE.

MISSOULA, MT. 59803

E-Mail: vondene@vessna.net

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: CLANCY KENCK

ADDRESS: 2813 QUEEN ST

MISSOULA, MT 59801

E-Mail: CBKENCK@YAHOO.COM

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Bill Chandler

ADDRESS: 2200 Tipperary Way

Missoula, MT 59808

E-Mail: bill.a.chandler@gmail.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

In the Helena area, I would encourage you to use city limits as a boundary, and not put parts of the valley into city districts. People in the valley have very different concerns and interests from those in the city. They deserve to have at least one representative who can have valley interests in mind. As you draw the districts inside the city, please refer to existing political boundaries and traditional neighborhoods.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME:

Scott Mendenhall

ADDRESS:

Aut malled
214 Salomon Ln
Clancy MT 59634

EMAIL:

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

In the Helena area, I would encourage you to use city limits as a boundary, and not put parts of the valley into city districts. People in the valley have very different concerns and interests from those in the city. They deserve to have at least one representative who can have valley interests in mind. As you draw the districts inside the city, please refer to existing political boundaries and traditional neighborhoods.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME:



ADDRESS:

PO, Box 70
BASIN, MT. 59631

EMAIL:

glanhale@wildblue.net

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

In the Helena area, I would encourage you to use city limits as a boundary, and not put parts of the valley into city districts. People in the valley have very different concerns and interests from those in the city. They deserve to have at least one representative who can have valley interests in mind. As you draw the districts inside the city, please refer to existing political boundaries and traditional neighborhoods.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: ROGER A. HAGAN

ADDRESS: 117 GERBER RD
GTFALLS, MT 59405-8107

EMAIL: rahagan@msn.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

In the Helena area, I would encourage you to use city limits as a boundary, and not put parts of the valley into city districts. People in the valley have very different concerns and interests from those in the city. They deserve to have at least one representative who can have valley interests in mind. As you draw the districts inside the city, please refer to existing political boundaries and traditional neighborhoods.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: Francis H. Rice

ADDRESS: 1317 Stewart St
Helena, Mt 59601

EMAIL: _____

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Broadwater County, I strongly prefer that my county be kept intact and together as one district in the new legislative districts. I understand that the map proposed by Commissioners Lamson and Smith -- the so-called "communities" plan, basically the Democrat party plan -- splits up Broadwater County into several different districts. I oppose that plan.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas -- please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: Levi Brown

ADDRESS: 130 Main St.
Winston, MT 59647

EMAIL: Landbrown98@yahoo.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Broadwater County, I strongly prefer that my county be kept intact and together as one district in the new legislative districts. I understand that the map proposed by Commissioners Lamson and Smith -- the so-called "communities" plan, basically the Democrat party plan -- splits up Broadwater County into several different districts. I oppose that plan.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas -- please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME:

Debra Brown

ADDRESS:

130 MAIN ST.

Winston, MT 59647

EMAIL:

dbrown4SD34@gmail.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name:

DON LEAL

Address:

POB 54
PRAY, MT. 59065

Email Address:

DON@PERC.ORG

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name:

Mary J McLaughlin

Address:

580 Little Basin CRd
Butte, MT 59701-9613

Email Address:

mmannieoakley@gmail.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: JESSICA EYDE

Address: 121 MTN VIEW
BUTTE MT 59701

Email Address: INFO@PIONEEREQ.COM

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name:

Hulan A. Kellicott

Address:

981 Gem Back Rd
Deer Lodge, MT 59722

Email Address:

eaglemtn@rfwave.net

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

In the Helena area, I would encourage you to use city limits as a boundary, and not put parts of the valley into city districts. People in the valley have very different concerns and interests from those in the city. They deserve to have at least one representative who can have valley interests in mind. As you draw the districts inside the city, please refer to existing political boundaries and traditional neighborhoods.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: 

ADDRESS: Po Box 1823

EA17 Helena, MT 59625

EMAIL: Jon3@mt.net

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

In the Helena area, I would encourage you to use city limits as a boundary, and not put parts of the valley into city districts. People in the valley have very different concerns and interests from those in the city. They deserve to have at least one representative who can have valley interests in mind. As you draw the districts inside the city, please refer to existing political boundaries and traditional neighborhoods.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME:

Dave Lewis

ADDRESS:

5871 Collins
Helena, MT 59602

EMAIL:

davelewis@aol.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

In the Helena area, I would encourage you to use city limits as a boundary, and not put parts of the valley into city districts. People in the valley have very different concerns and interests from those in the city. They deserve to have at least one representative who can have valley interests in mind. As you draw the districts inside the city, please refer to existing political boundaries and traditional neighborhoods.

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME:

Karen Lewis

ADDRESS:

5871 Collins Dr
Helena, MT 59602

EMAIL:

Karenlewisd@aol.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

I agree with all the points presented in this letter, I sincerely hope you will give these requests serious consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name:

Shawn M. Getz

Address:

760 Sierra Rd. W.
Helena, MT 59602

Email Address: _____

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative
Services Division

Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name:

Jeff Whiteside

Address:

5115 S. Wornath Rd.
Missoula, MT 59804

Email Address:

jeff@jeffwhiteside.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative
Services Division

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

Robert L. Luras

(ADDRESS):

1726 De Foe Street
Missoula, Montana 59802-1915

(EMAIL):

BobLuras@aol.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name:

Michael M. McCullough

Address:

BOX 4141

MISSOULA MT 59806

Email Address:

MMML@BROSNAN.NET

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name:

Fred Carl

Address:

P.O. Box 2445
MT. 55004, DST 59806

Email Address:

Fredcar@blackfoot.net

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative
Services Division

Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name:

John Peterson

Address:

1801 South Ave W.
Missoula Mt. 59801

Email Address:

jpete68855@msn.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name:

Barbara Ford

Address:

2402 Garland Dr
Missoula, MT 59803

Email Address:

ford33@yahoo.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name:

Cherie McCullough

Address:

2207 27th Avenue
Missoula, MT 59804

Email Address:

cjm1 @ BRESNAU . NET

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative
Services Division

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision).

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

Dennis Skattem

(ADDRESS):

10 McDonald Creek
Lenington mt.
59047

(EMAIL):

dsRATTum@wspwest.net.

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: Drew Turiano

ADDRESS: 706 Harrison Ave.
Helena, MT. 59601

EMAIL: drew74@g.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision).

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

Stoney Esp

(ADDRESS):

Box 1024
Big Timber, MT. 59011

(EMAIL):

jasp@mtintouch.net

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME:

Andrew Turing

ADDRESS:

*706 Harrison Ave.
Helena, mt. 59601*

EMAIL:

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

Richard Anderson

(ADDRESS):

PO Box 103
Fromberg MT
59029

(EMAIL):

manderson@yahoo.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

Ed Geddes

(ADDRESS):

Box 116
Franklin, MT
59029

(EMAIL):

edged@g.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

Marty & Joan Madson

(ADDRESS):

679 West Fremberg Rd.
Fremberg, 59029

(EMAIL):

joan-3@f.com

RECEIVED

APR 20 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

Scott J Boggio

(ADDRESS):

1315 Hwy 78
Red Lodge, Mt, 59068

(EMAIL):

sboggio@aol.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

For my own area, I hope that you will keep Carbon and Stillwater counties as one Senate district.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: Roberta J. McDowell

Address: 126 McDowell Ln.
Joliet MT 59041

Email Address: _____

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

GAIL & JOHN

(NAME):

BEAUCHAMP J & Beaudry II

(ADDRESS):

6 E. PALISADE BASIN DR.
RED LODGE MT. 59068

(EMAIL):

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

I hope you will include Carbon County and Stillwater county in the same Senate district.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME: Ed + Annie Halland

ADDRESS: 103 E. Bridger Road
Bridger MT 59014

EMAIL: bridgerhalland@g.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

For my own area, I hope that you will keep Carbon and Stillwater counties as one Senate district.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: Brent & Audrey B Lindgren

Address: PO Box 121
Edgar, MT 59026

Email Address: alwalliser@yahoo.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

For my own area, I hope that you will keep Carbon and Stillwater counties as one Senate district.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name:

George & Kelly Resley

Address:

66 Granite Rd.
Joliet, MT 59041

Email Address:

kellyresley@gmail.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

For my own area, I hope that you will keep Carbon and Stillwater counties as one Senate district.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name:

Anne Rouman

Address:

P.O. Box 173
BOYD, MT 59013

Email Address:

Melign74@mac.com

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

I hope you will include Carbon County and Stillwater county in the same Senate district.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME:

Patricia B. Plouman
Patricia B. Plouman

ADDRESS:

Box 173 RR10 Hwy 212
Foyd, MT 59012

EMAIL:

pat.plouman@hotmail.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Members of the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I appreciate how tough the task at hand must be in redrawing district lines in the Legislature, but I don't know why you must have proposals that gerrymander based on how people vote in certain areas. Doesn't that go against the criteria you adopted? Montana shouldn't just follow the bad examples of other states that allow one political party to draw seats that help them in elections. You should reject those kinds of maps.

There are at least a couple of maps on the table that seem to follow your criteria. I think the urban-rural 100 map is a common sense recognition of the differences between cities and rural areas of the state. I think the subdivision 100 map is also a good approach since it seems to keep many counties and towns together when possible.

Allowing the Democratic party to have a map that draws lines to help them is ridiculous. It shouldn't even be under consideration.

For my own area, I hope that you will keep Carbon and Stillwater counties as one Senate district.

Thank you for listening to my comments,

Name: Vicki J Kombol

Address: Box 568
Bridger, Mt 59014

Email Address: _____

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

I strongly urge you to reject maps that use political data and election results in the development of district lines for the legislature. Political parties should not manipulate boundaries to maximize the number of seats they think they can win in elections. That defeats the purpose of redistricting, which is to equalize the number of people in districts, protect minority voting rights, following existing political subdivisions and communities of interest.

I hope you will include Carbon County and Stillwater county in the same Senate district.

The last map in Montana was developed through a heavy reliance on political data and election results. There is no other way to explain how many communities were divided, other than it served a political purpose for the Montana Democratic Party. The new map should have no resemblance to the current map, because it inconsistently ignores existing political subdivisions, communities of interest, lacks the compactness requirement and all of the other official redistricting criteria.

The commission should use the three maps developed by non-partisan legislative staff as starting points for the new map: the urban-rural, deviation and subdivision maps. The fourth map is just a slightly modified version of the map the Democrats put forward in 2000 using political data and election results. Many local communities are putting forward suggestions for their areas – please pay special attention to those.

Thank you for your work on building consensus throughout this process. We encourage you to reject partisan politics in the redistricting effort.

Sincerely,

NAME:  Kristi Ferdner

ADDRESS: PO Box 446 Joliet Montana 59041

EMAIL: DANDE1706@gmail.com / mountainladyinmt@gmail.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Niles Brush

ADDRESS: 286 Ridgeway Dr

Lolo, MT

E-Mail: ~~at~~ niles.brush@umontana.edu

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Keith Baer

ADDRESS: PMB 335, 2814 Brooks

Missoula MT 59801

E-Mail: Keith B @ Montana, COM

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: Sheila Cook

ADDRESS: 1400 Clarkia lane

Missoula, Mt., 59802

E-Mail: makesmt@msn.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME: BILL BUSEMAN

ADDRESS: 2212 Greenough Court

Missoula, Mt 59802

E-Mail: wbuseman@cherrycreekradio.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Mr. Chairman,

If you want proof that the "Communities plan" put forward by the Montana Democratic Party in 2000 and 2010 is not based on communities, look no further than Missoula County. The lines and boundaries within the county have no resemblance whatsoever on communities, neighborhoods or existing political subdivisions.

This is an attempt by one party to totally dominate the legislative seats within a county. Over the last 8 years under the current map, only one seat has shown to be a place where a Republican can win. All nine other are solidly Democrat because they all originate from the center of the city of Missoula. I find it laughable that Democrats claim their map produces "fair and competitive" results. Not fair for Republicans, Independents, suburban or rural voters.

I urge the commission to look over and study many of the existing lines we have for neighborhoods, city wards, and school districts. In Missoula County and City we have distinct areas like Miller Creek, Target Range, Orchard Homes, the downtown, the Northside, the University area, the Rattlesnake, East Missoula, Frenchtown, the Seeley-Swan and so on. You should do your best to keep these areas separate, and recognize the interests of rural, suburban and urban voters. They have different priorities and would benefit from separate representation.

Please reject maps authored by the Montana Democratic party since they only seek to dominate our county. I urge the commission to look at the Urban-Rural 100 plan and the Subdivision 100 plan. Those are good starting points.

NAME:

Sig Hellegard

ADDRESS:

3828 Bollecrest Dr

Missoula, MT 59801

E-Mail:

lhellegard@msn.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don't let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: Scott Adler

ADDRESS: 750. Frontage Rd W
Drummond Mt, 59832

EMAIL: _____

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don't let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: Scott Dunkerson

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 198
Drummond, MT 59832

EMAIL: _____

RECEIVED
APR 25 2012
Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don't let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME:

Heidi Hinkle

ADDRESS:

53 aspen lane
Philipsburg, MT
59858

EMAIL: _____

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:

Judith K. Fiedler

ADDRESS:

753 Mustang Way, Kalispell, MT 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Vickie Hies

ADDRESS: 40 Prairie Falcon Ct.
Kalispell 59901


Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:


Mark Eric Johnson

ADDRESS:

1111 Moventon Park Lane, Kalispell MT

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Debbie Kramer D'Asare


ADDRESS: 3150 N Ashley Lake Rd Kootenai MT 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: LORIAN HICKS 

ADDRESS: 40 PRAIRIE FALLS CO.
KANISPEL, MT 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:

David A. Holman

ADDRESS:

5695 Hwy 93 So Somers MT

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

Paul J Kelly - Robinson

(ADDRESS):

1166 ROGERS RD
Col. Falls, MT 59912

(EMAIL):

pkelly@bresnan.net

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

AROL Nelson

(ADDRESS):

505 Wolf Creek
Bigfork, mt,

(EMAIL):

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

Dennis Nelson

(ADDRESS):

505 Wolf Creek Drive
Bigfork MT 59911

(EMAIL):

dnelson2@ch2m.com

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):



(ADDRESS):

PO Box 261
Bigfork, MT 59911

(EMAIL):

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

Gary Graeffs

(ADDRESS):

172 Black Bear Ln
Bigfork MT 59911

(EMAIL):

GRAEFFS@MontanaSky.net

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

Bill Livingston

(ADDRESS):

102 Harborway
Bigfork MT 59911

(EMAIL):

BillRita@msn.com

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

Rita Livingston

(ADDRESS):

102 Harbor Way
Bigfork, MT 59914

(EMAIL):

BILLRITA@MSN.COM

To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Robert Friedman

Address: 834 Kenas Rd.
Kalispell, MT 59901

E-mail: bobcindylee@gmail.com

To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Cynthia Friedman

Address: 834 Kienas Rd.
Kaulispell, MT 59901

E-mail: _____

To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: MARGIE DONOGHUE

Address: 590 YOEMAN HALL Rd.
KALISPELL, MT.

E-mail: mdonoghue@centurytel.net

To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Jane Donoghue

Address: 33 Muskral DR.
Kalspell, MT

E-mail: jane.moore@hotmail.com

To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Donna Danz

Address: 657 Trap Road
Columbia Falls, MT 59912

E-mail: danzd657@centurytel.net

To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Andy Tegoli

Address: 441 Kohler Lake Ln
Kalispell, MT 59901

E-mail: andyx@centurytel.net

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

Jane Bennett

(ADDRESS):

POB 707
205 Political Hill
Lakeside, MT 59922

(EMAIL):

BennettJ@msn.com

To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Jean Tegoli

Address: 441 Kohler Lake Ln
Kalispell MT 59901

E-mail: jtegoli@yahoo.com

To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: JOHN J. DONOGHUE

Address: 590 YOEMAN HALL RD.
KALISPELL MT 59901

E-mail: jdonoghue@centurytel.net

To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name:

Norman D. Forrest
Norman D. Forrest

Address:

160 Pheasant Run
Kelleyville, MT

E-mail:

dforrest@centurylink.net

To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Aruta Hoye

Address: 804 7th Avenue East
Kalispell MT 59901

E-mail: _____

To the members of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand there are proposals before the commission for new legislative boundaries that used election results as a basis for drawing lines. This may be something that is common in Washington, D.C., New York or California, but it's not the Montana way.

I believe it is impossible to follow the criteria you adopted while also taking into account political data. Relying on statistics about how areas vote lead to many of the problems of the current map: urban domination of suburban and rural areas, unnecessary splitting of counties and cities, and inconsistent application of deviation. Please do not adopt any map that uses such statistics or has any resemblance to the current map.

It appears the commission has at least three good proposals put together by non-partisan staff members (urban-rural, deviation, subdivision). For Dawson county, it makes sense to keep it all together and add neighboring Wibaux County. Combined, the two counties would make a House district within the acceptable deviation range.

Thank you for your work and for listening to regular Montanans. Please stay away from manipulating lines for political purposes and stick to the state constitution.

Yours Truly,

(NAME):

Shannon Lund

(ADDRESS):

6 Glacier Circle

Kalispell, MT

(EMAIL):

shannon.lund@hotmail.com

To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: DANIEL DANZ

Address: 657 Trap Rd.
Ol Falls, Mt. 59912

E-mail: danzd657@centurytel.net

To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Dancee Okruski

Address: 377 Orchard Ln.
Kali'spell MT 59901

E-mail: alnanoz@centurytel.net

To the Districting and Apportionment Commission,

I am ardently opposed to the commission using maps that rely on political data and election results in legislative redistricting. This is a shameful practice and it goes against everything in our constitution about transparency and open government. It's almost as if the Montana Democratic party believes it has to rig election results in order to have a shot at controlling the legislature.

In the last redistricting cycle, deviation was used in a way to benefit Democrat and urban areas while it hurt Republican and rural areas. Also, the commission totally ignored much of the rest of the criteria in favor of a map that relied on political data to draw legislative lines. This approach should be rejected.

Richland County is just around the perfect size for a House Seat within the 3% deviation. Counties are communities of interest, so we urge you to make things simple by using the county line as the legislative line.

If the commission sticks with the criteria it adopted, I believe you will come up with something that looks a lot more like Montana.

Name: Albert D. Olszewski

Address: 377 Orchard Lane
Kelispell, MT 59501

E-mail: _____

Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don't let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: Cindy Benson

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 353
Philipsburg, mt 59858

EMAIL: _____

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don't let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME:

Edward J Lad

ADDRESS:

4 Cattle Dr
Philipsburg, MT 59858

EMAIL: _____

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don't let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME:

Connie Lork

ADDRESS:

4 Cattle Dr
Philipsburg Mt 59858

EMAIL:

gotlucklist@gmail.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don't let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME:

ADDRESS:

EMAIL:



P.O. Box 7
PHILIPSBURG, MT. 59858

Jenner@montana.com

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,


As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don't let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME:



Dennis L. Bell

ADDRESS:

Box 923
Phillipsburg MT 59858

EMAIL:

imagine@blackfoot.mt

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,


As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don't let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME:



ADDRESS:

PO Box 31
PHILIPSBURG, MT 59858

EMAIL:

JWEBB@174MT.COM

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don't let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: Luann Antonelli

ADDRESS: 309 California St. P.O. Box 486
Philipsburg, MT 59858

EMAIL: _____

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don't let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: Bruce Husby

ADDRESS: 66 Cattle Drive
Philpsburg Mt 59858

EMAIL: _____

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don't let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: Bill Steyer

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 96
Hell, Montana 59837

EMAIL: billandrene@blackfoot.net

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don't let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME:

Tom Flatley

ADDRESS:

*115 E Main St
Hall MT.
59837*

EMAIL: _____

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don't let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME: Doug Estab

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 97 Hall MT 59837

EMAIL: _____

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Dear Chairman Regnier,

As a resident of Granite County, I do not agree with having Anaconda as part of two districts that can dominate both my county and Powell County. The community of Anaconda should be kept together, and Granite and Powell counties should be joined into one House district. This will recognize the urban-rural differences we have compared to Anaconda. As such, Anaconda should have a Senate district with Butte, not with our proposed House seat.

The reason the Democrats would like two districts to come out of Anaconda is because the two seats will be dominated by Democrats. Even though their map is called the "Communities" map, they go against what they claim their map is about by splitting the town of Anaconda and forcing their voters into our two counties. They know they can have two Democrats out of this area because they use election results to see how districts will vote before the votes are even taken!

There is a reason Granite and Powell counties are no longer a part of Deer Lodge county. We have different interests, different communities and different priorities. Please focus on your criteria and don't let Anaconda dominate our elections. The Subdivisions 100 map does the best job of matching our priorities.

Sincerely,

NAME:

Charles Miller

ADDRESS:

5317500R LN Phillipsburg MT 59858

EMAIL: _____

RECEIVED

APR 25 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: _____

Glenda J. Brown

ADDRESS: _____

330 Goodrich Rd. Kalispell MT 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:

Tim Baldwin



ADDRESS:

806 3rd Ave W Kalispell MT 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Tammy Lee Mast

ADDRESS: 290 B Two Mile Dr
Kalispell MT 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Heidi Roedel *Heidi Roedel*

ADDRESS: 1376 Shelter Ridge, Kalispell MT
precinct 29 59901


*Please help make fair choices so that
our legislators can fairly represent
their people.*

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Scott P Sargent 

ADDRESS: 232 Juniper Bend Dr.
Kalispell, mt. 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Carice J Ryan Carice Ryan

ADDRESS: 253 Pine Needle Lane
Bigfork MT 59911

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:

Linda Tuttle

ADDRESS:

*2335 West Valley Drive
Kalispell MT 59401*

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Bruce Tuttle

ADDRESS: 2335 Kalispell Mt
West Valley Dr.

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Alex Bancroft

ADDRESS: 218 Deer Trail Sonoma, MT

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:

Gandy Demery

ADDRESS:

218 Deer Trail, Somers

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Erlyn L. Gillespie

ADDRESS: 125 Pioneer Road, Kalispell, MT

59901-6506

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:

JUDITH A. LARSON *Judith A. Larson*

ADDRESS:

245 Highland Ave., Kalispell,
Montana 59901


Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:



ADDRESS:

158 Arbor Dr Kalispell MT 59901

3/5/12 TO: Rep Keith Requier

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Richard Boyd Roth

ADDRESS: 1343 6th Ave E
Kaispell, MT
59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Sam Nunnally

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 10819 Kalispell, MT 59904

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Ruby Gene Conroy

ADDRESS: 31 Andrews Loop Kalispell MT
59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: William H. Covey William H. Covey

ADDRESS: 31 Windward Loop, Kalis, MT

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Mary Pat Nyberg Mary Pat Murphy

ADDRESS: 875 Birch Grove Rd, Kalispell, MT
59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:

J. L. Hanson

ADDRESS:

*1001 S. Main Street Kalispell, mt
59901*

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: ROBERT H HERRON Robert H Herron

ADDRESS: 249 WHITE PINE RD
KALISPELL, MT 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Russell A. Gregory Russell AG 77

ADDRESS: 850 St. Andrews Dr., #1411
Columbia Falls, MT 59912

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: LAURA E. GREGORY *Laura E Gregory*

ADDRESS: 850 Saint Andrews Dr #1411 Col Falls MT 59912

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Carl Weniger s/ Carl R. Weniger

ADDRESS: 2041 Mission Trail Rd.
Kelso, MT 59901-2240

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: JAMES P LYNCH II (Jim Lynch)


ADDRESS: 470 LAKE HILLS LANE
KALISPELL, MT 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Sandra Welch 

ADDRESS: Po Box 260121, Martin City, MT 59926

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Mark Roland

ADDRESS: 60 Box 7606 Kaispell mt
59909

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: _____

Keith Regier

ADDRESS: _____

1028 Stillwater Road, Kalispell, 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: STEVEN SNELLEN *Steven Snellen*


ADDRESS: 150 Ridge Run Drive, Whitefish 59937

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: HARRY J. HYATT 

ADDRESS: 160 BJORK DRIVE BIGFORK, MT 59911

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Ty Nance Terry Kramer

ADDRESS: 3150 N Ashley Lake Rd Kalispell
MT 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Carl Glimm

ADDRESS: 5107 Ashley Lake Rd Kila, MT 59920

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Amy Regier

ADDRESS: 2201 Buddy Duck Dr. Kalispell

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Jolene Regier

ADDRESS: 1078 Stillwater Road Kalispell, MT 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Katie Bollweg Katie Bollweg

ADDRESS: 634 Somers Ave Whitefish, MT

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:

Brett Bolling

ADDRESS:

634 Samers Ave. Whitefish MT 59939

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Calvin Ray Orvik

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 783 Whitefish MT.

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Daniel N Comerford

ADDRESS: 1750 Old Tally Lake Rd.
 Whitefish MT 59937

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Angela Berry

ADDRESS: 541 VanderHeide Ln. Kalispell, MT 59901

RECEIVED

MAY 03 2012

Montana Legislative
Services Division


To the Members of the Montana Redistricting Commission:

Although most of the residents in Yellowstone are part of the city of Billings, not all of them are. There are distinctions between urban, suburban and rural areas. I believe the urban-rural map recognizes these differences and keeps communities within the county and city together. It preserves traditional neighborhoods, follows subdivisions like schools districts, and has compact and contiguous districts.

Two other proposed maps, the Existing District Map and the Lamson-Smith Democrat plan are both poor choices for the city of Billings and Yellowstone County. Both have very non-compact districts. Both cut through and across neighborhoods and communities within the city. Both were developed at one point in time by the Montana Democratic party as a way of bolstering their chances in legislative elections. The commission should reject these two maps as bad starting points for a new map. Political data and election results should not play a role in the development of legislative lines.

If you pay attention to neighborhood task force lines, school districts, city wards and other political subdivisions, both parties should have a reasonable chance of electing voters. The key is having candidates that work hard and reach out to voters rather than drawing districts where the outcomes are certain to go to one party or the other.

Sincerely,

NAME: 

ADDRESS: 5541 Gene Sarazen Dr.
Billings, MT 59106

EMAIL: dknich@brcs.net

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: _____

Chad Egan

ADDRESS: _____

105 Moss Run Katesville MT 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:

Ronald A. Hoge

ADDRESS:

804 - 57th Ave E. Kalispell MT 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:

Samy Getteny

ADDRESS:

541 3rd Ave E. Kalispell 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:

Deanna M. Thibault

ADDRESS:

116 Larch Lane, Columbia Falls, MT 59912

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Russell Miller Russell Miller

ADDRESS: 510 Solberg, Kalispell, MT 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Paul W Robinson

ADDRESS: New Rogers Rd, Col. FALK, MT 59912

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:

Richard Robinson

ADDRESS:

166 Rogers Rd. Col. Falls, MT 59912

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Ann Bekack Anne Bekack

ADDRESS: 190 Seven Hills Estates Bigfork

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:

Charles J. Lentz

ADDRESS:

265 FAIRMONT RD, KALISPELL, MT 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME: Bonnie Wentzel

ADDRESS: 440 Peters Creek Way Kalispell 59901

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:

Carolyn M Robinson

ADDRESS:

370 E. Reserve Dr. Kalispell

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:

Jerry O'Neil

ADDRESS:

985 Walsh Road, Columbia Falls 59912

Flathead County: In the last redistricting cycle, all of the Flathead legislative districts were overpopulated by 4-5% deviation. It was done because this area largely elected Republicans, so it made good sense for the Democratic architects of the plan to stuff a lot of GOP voters into districts.

This time, the Democrats have once again put forward a map that helps them out in elections called the Communities plan. Although they aren't as blatant about it, they still try to overpopulate many Republican leaning districts around the state and underpopulate Democrat leaning districts. They also often ignore county lines, communities of interest, neighborhoods, and school districts. Their one goal is to get the most number of safe seats possible to elect Democrats.

I would urge the commission to use the Urban Rural Map and the Subdivisions Map as good launching points. I also urge the commission to consistently apply your criteria from one district to the next.

Also, when it comes to pairing Senate districts, Whitefish should not be combined with Columbia Falls. Those two areas have quite a longstanding rivalry, and very different communities, industries and interests.

NAME:

James Ornie

ADDRESS:

985 Walnut St Falls VT 59912