

Comparing Exempt and Taxable Property Growth

	Exe	empt Property ((His	toric market val	ue data tha	it, or	nce property is e	exempt, is	not k	ept current)*	
	2008 (historic value of		2009 (automated								
		record)	0	rion recalculation)	% Change		<u>2010</u>	% Change		<u>2011</u>	% Chang
Silver Bow	\$	30,097,988	\$	41,023,956	36%	\$	41,774,216	2%	\$	39,638,208	-5%
Cascade	\$	299,754,930	\$	330,847,602	10%	\$	351,887,633	6%	\$	364,249,486	4%
rellowstone .	\$	412,848,849	\$	652,789,165	58%	\$	670,450,867	3%	\$	692,560,603	3%
Missoula	\$	160,790,161	\$	233,933,439	45%	\$	236,640,661	1%	\$	245,238,690	4%
Lewis & Clark	\$	77,781,063	\$	138,783,980	78%	\$	141,371,326	2%	\$	152,950,657	8%
Gallatin	\$	142,927,672	\$	260,772,407	82%	\$	267,694,642	3%	\$	283,800,477	6%
Flathead	\$	126,342,824	\$	205,980,281	63%	\$	212,771,947	3%	\$	222,574,752	5%
Total	\$	1,250,543,487	\$	1,864,130,829	49%	\$	1,922,591,292	3%	\$	2,001,012,874	4%
				Taxal	ole Market	Valu	e Property				
		2008		2009	% Change		2010	% Change		2011	% Chang
Silver Bow	\$	2,049,215,143	\$	1,955,283,497	-5%	\$	1,955,496,481	0%		\$1,742,381,636	-10.9%
Cascade	\$	3,628,030,707	\$	3,789,308,958	4%	\$	3,952,953,719	4%		\$4,171,416,589	6%
Yellowstone	\$	8,153,941,175	\$	8,742,198,046	7%	\$	9,355,828,347	7%		\$9,800,422,250	5%
Missoula	\$	6,252,750,409	\$	6,617,922,414	6%	\$	6,850,529,682	4%		\$7,135,214,202	4%
Lewis & Clark	\$	3,093,171,264	\$	3,330,549,759	8%	\$	3,532,540,184	6%		\$3,743,765,203	6%
Gallatin	\$	6,620,872,327	\$	7,287,882,707	10%	\$	7,788,012,712	7%		\$8,548,363,772	10%
Flathead	\$	6,994,939,657	\$	7,710,977,188	10%	\$	8,209,517,616	6%		\$8,757,304,787	7%
Total	\$	36,792,920,683	\$	39,434,122,569	7%	\$	41,644,878,741	6%	\$	43,898,868,439	5%
			To	otal Property = E	xempt + Ta	xabl	e Market Value	Property			
		2008		2009	% Change		2010	% Change		<u>2011</u>	% Chang
Silver Bow	\$	2,079,313,131	\$	1,996,307,453	-4%	\$	1,997,270,697	0%	\$	1,782,019,844	-11%
Cascade	\$	3,927,785,637	\$	4,120,156,560	5%	\$	4,304,841,352	4%	\$	4,535,666,075	5%
ellowstone/	\$	8,566,790,024	\$	9,394,987,211	10%	\$	10,026,279,214	7%	\$	10,492,982,853	5%
Missoula	\$	6,413,540,570	\$	6,851,855,853	7%	\$	7,087,170,343	3%	\$	7,380,452,892	4%
ewis & Clark	\$	3,170,952,327	\$	3,469,333,739	9%	\$	3,673,911,510	6%	\$	3,896,715,860	6%
Gallatin	\$	6,763,800,000	\$	7,548,655,114	12%	\$	8,055,707,354	7%	\$	8,832,164,249	10%
lathead	\$	7,121,282,481	\$	7,916,957,469	11%	\$	8,422,289,563	6%	\$	8,979,879,539	7%
Total	\$	38,043,464,170	\$	41,298,253,398	9%	\$	43,567,470,033	5%	\$	45,899,881,313	5%
				Exempt	Property /	'Tota	al Property **				
		2008		2009	% Change *		2010	% Change		2011	% Chang
Silver Bow		1.4%		2.1%	42.0%		2.1%	1.8%		2.2%	6.3%
Cascade		7.6%		8.0%	5.2%		8.2%	1.8%		8.0%	-1.8%

44.2%

36.2%

63.1%

63.5%

46.6%

43.0%

3.4%

4.0%

3.5%

2.6%

4.4%

6.7%

3.3%

3.8%

3.3%

2.5%

4.3%

-3.8%

-2.2%

-3.8%

-3.8%

-2.9%

-1.8%

6.6%

3.3%

3.9%

3.2%

2.5%

4.3%

-1.3%

-0.5%

2.0%

-3.3%

-1.9%

-0.1%

Yellowstone

Lewis & Clark

Missoula

Gallatin

Flathead

Average

4.8%

2.5%

2.5%

2.1%

1.8%

3.2%

^{*} When property is classified as exempt the property valuation record reflects the "last known value" as of the date of exemption. DOR staff no longer update physical characteristics or verify value data automatically updated by Orion, unless a change of owndership or some type of permit indicates that a physical change has been made to the property. Therefore, specific valuation data for an exempt property is essentially a meaningless data field, aggregate data is a meaningless mix of disparate data; both the specific and aggregate data have little to no validity to serve as the basis for decision-making.

^{**} The increase in value for exempt property between 2008 and 2009 is a reflection of the conversion to the Orion data system that automates value updates based on model formulas, including the placeholder values on exempt property. There are no physical inspections of the property, no validation of the automated valuations, etc.