

1   \*\*\*\* BILL NO. \*\*\*\*  
2   INTRODUCED BY \*\*\*\*

3  
4     A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO GENERALLY REVISE INJUNCTIVE POWERS OF THE  
5     JUDICIARY; PROHIBITING THE GRANT OF AN INJUNCTION TO RESTRAIN THE ENFORCEMENT OF A  
6     STATUTE AGAINST A NONPARTY; LIMITING THE SCOPE OF INJUNCTIONS THAT RESTRAIN THE  
7     ENFORCEMENT OF A STATUTE; AMENDING SECTION 27-19-103, MCA."

8  
9             WHEREAS, the Montana Supreme Court has stated that courts cannot enforce an order against a non-  
10     party, as in *In re Parenting of P.H.R.*, 2021 MT 231, 405 Mont. 334, 495 P.3d 38; *Montana Department of*  
11     *Public Health & Human Services v. Eighth Judicial District Court*, OP 23-0676, 414 Mont. 389, 539 P.3d 1109  
12     (2023); and *In re Estate of Johnson*, 2024 MT 224, 418 Mont. 198, 557 P.3d 36; and

13             WHEREAS, this statement of law is a basic rule of fairness and is consistent with the Due Process  
14     Clause of the 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution and Article II, section 17, of the Montana  
15     Constitution; and

16             WHEREAS, despite this statement of the rule, the Montana Supreme Court has on various occasions  
17     extended its order to affect non-parties such as in *McLaughlin v. Montana State Legislature*, 2021 MT 120, 404  
18     Mont. 166, 489 P.3d 482, in which it vacated a subpoena issued by a non-party and *State of Montana ex rel.*  
19     *Montanans for the Preservation of Citizen's Rights v. Waltermire*, 231 Mont. 406, 757 P.2d 746 (1988) in which  
20     it imposed a decision against the proponents of one voter initiative against the non-party proponents of another;  
21     and

22             WHEREAS, placing this rule in statute would remind the courts of the foundational nature of this  
23     principle; and

24             WHEREAS, it is also a basic rule of law that, in general, the scope of an injunction should not exceed  
25     the scope required to enforce the court's order; and

26             WHEREAS, the Legislature has decided to embody this basic rule of law in statute.

27  
28     BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

**Section 1.** Section 27-19-103, MCA, is amended to read:

**"27-19-103. When injunction may not be granted.** An injunction cannot be granted:

(1) to stay a judicial proceeding pending at the commencement of an action in which the injunction is demanded, unless such restraint is necessary to prevent a multiplicity of such proceedings;

(2) to stay proceedings in a court of the United States;

(3) to stay proceedings in another state upon a judgment of a court of that state;

(4) to prevent the execution of a public statute by officers of the law for the public benefit;

(5) to prevent the breach of a contract the performance of which would not be specifically enforced;

(6) to prevent the exercise of a public or private office, in a lawful manner, by the person in possession;

(7) to prevent a legislative act by a municipal corporation;

(8) in labor disputes under any other or different circumstances or conditions than if the controversy were of another or different character or between parties neither or none of whom were laborers or interested in labor questions; ~~or~~

(9) to prevent the secretary of state from issuing a temporary or final administrative rule before the administrative rule is issued; or

(10) to restrain the enforcement of a statute against a nonparty to the proceedings before the court. An injunction to restrain the enforcement of a statute to remedy a constitutional violation must directly address and bear a substantial connection to the violation and may not require more than is necessary to assure compliance by the parties before the court."

- END -