MONTANA JUDICIAL BRANCH BASICS

www.courts.mt.gov January 2025

Case filings (2024) Supreme Court: 767 District Courts: 57,082 Youth Court/Juvenile Probation 3,604 juvenile offenders Courts of Limited Jurisdiction: 219,168
2025 biennium budget o \$121.5 million (\$60,432,325 in FY24 and \$61,029,451 in FY25) is less than 19 of the total state budget.
 Programs funded through Judicial Branch budget: Supreme Court, including Office of Court Administrator, which includes all drug treatment courts, information technology for all courts, board and commission support, and general administrative support Water Court Clerk of Supreme Court State Law Library District Courts (including juvenile probation), excluding courtroom/ office space and Clerks of District Court County reimbursements for trial expenses including juries and other costs Courts of Limited Jurisdiction, judges' training and court technology support (case management system, computers, connectivity).
 Montana's District Courts: 51 District Court Judges covering 56 counties - each judge requires a law clerk a court reporter, and a judicial assistant The six largest districts represent 69% of the caseload Statewide caseloads increased by almost 20% between 2010 and 2024 Increases occurred in all case types, but are especially problematic in time-consuming cases such as child abuse and neglect and criminal matters 2024 workload studies show a need for 11 new judges
Managing the Workload:

- Priority cases (child abuse and neglect, criminal, youth court, etc.) must be placed on the docket ahead of other cases by statute
- Drug courts and pretrial programs are proven to reduce recidivism and incarceration
- Youth Court prevention and intervention programs reduce District Court caseloads and populations at state and county youth correctional/detention facilities
- Pro-se litigants resources and forms are provided through the Law Library and the self-help law program
- General civil litigation, often involving businesses and individuals, suffer the biggest delays. The volume of priority cases simply fills all available court and judge time in larger districts.