

Current Montana House District Deviations from Ideal District Population

2010 Legislative District Boundaries and 2020 Census Population Data

Ideal district population calculation: Population of Montana/# of State House Districts (1,084,225/100=10,842*)

House District	Ideal District Population*	District Population (2020 Census)	Deviation in Persons	% Deviation
41	10,842	9,157	-1,685	-15.54%
89	10,842	9,322	-1,520	-14.02%
18	10,842	9,375	-1,467	-13.53%
57	10,842	9,468	-1,374	-12.67%
15	10,842	9,485	-1,357	-12.52%
1	10,842	9,502	-1,340	-12.36%
39	10,842	9,521	-1,321	-12.18%
49	10,842	9,543	-1,299	-11.98%
24	10,842	9,596	-1,246	-11.49%
47	10,842	9,608	-1,234	-11.38%
22	10,842	9,638	-1,204	-11.11%
50	10,842	9,741	-1,101	-10.16%
33	10,842	9,762	-1,080	-9.96%
29	10,842	9,773	-1,069	-9.86%
78	10,842	9,793	-1,049	-9.68%
25	10,842	9,803	-1,039	-9.58%
28	10,842	9,833	-1,009	-9.31%
42	10,842	9,842	-1,000	-9.22%
36	10,842	9,877	-965	-8.90%
59	10,842	9,895	-947	-8.73%
73	10,842	9,907	-935	-8.62%
38	10,842	9,928	-914	-8.43%
30	10,842	9,947	-895	-8.25%
31	10,842	9,947	-895	-8.25%
52	10,842	9,960	-882	-8.14%
16	10,842	9,992	-850	-7.84%
48	10,842	10,016	-826	-7.62%
21	10,842	10,028	-814	-7.51%
2	10,842	10,175	-667	-6.15%
27	10,842	10,186	-656	-6.05%
17	10,842	10,194	-648	-5.98%
99	10,842	10,234	-608	-5.61%
90	10,842	10,236	-606	-5.59%
83	10,842	10,268	-574	-5.29%
74	10,842	10,362	-480	-4.43%
100	10,842	10,364	-478	-4.41%
46	10,842	10,380	-462	-4.26%
91	10,842	10,413	-429	-3.96%
72	10,842	10,422	-420	-3.87%
26	10,842	10,429	-413	-3.81%
34	10,842	10,456	-386	-3.56%
7	10,842	10,459	-383	-3.53%
40	10,842	10,462	-380	-3.50%
58	10,842	10,473	-369	-3.40%
37	10,842	10,499	-343	-3.16%
77	10,842	10,507	-335	-3.09%
23	10,842	10,508	-334	-3.08%
82	10,842	10,576	-266	-2.45%
75	10,842	10,581	-261	-2.41%
56	10,842	10,586	-256	-2.36%
92	10,842	10,588	-254	-2.34%
19	10,842	10,668	-174	-1.60%
94	10,842	10,676	-166	-1.53%

34 districts are underpopulated by at least 5%

13 districts are underpopulated by 3 to 4.99%

12 districts are underpopulated by 1 to 2.99%

93	10,842	10,677	-165	-1.52%
32	10,842	10,712	-130	-1.20%
97	10,842	10,715	-127	-1.17%
98	10,842	10,716	-126	-1.16%
14	10,842	10,724	-118	-1.09%
84	10,842	10,729	-113	-1.04%
76	10,842	10,776	-66	-0.61%
95	10,842	10,776	-66	-0.61%
79	10,842	10,777	-65	-0.60%
9	10,842	10,789	-53	-0.49%
85	10,842	10,831	-11	-0.10%
44	10,842	10,849	7	0.06%
55	10,842	10,854	12	0.11%
20	10,842	10,908	66	0.61%
86	10,842	10,918	76	0.70%
71	10,842	10,992	150	1.38%
13	10,842	11,024	182	1.68%
43	10,842	11,029	187	1.72%
66	10,842	11,032	190	1.75%
60	10,842	11,042	200	1.84%
3	10,842	11,053	211	1.95%
11	10,842	11,068	226	2.08%
87	10,842	11,091	249	2.30%
81	10,842	11,332	490	4.52%
88	10,842	11,334	492	4.54%
45	10,842	11,362	520	4.80%
12	10,842	11,372	530	4.89%
61	10,842	11,393	551	5.08%
10	10,842	11,480	638	5.88%
5	10,842	11,490	648	5.98%
35	10,842	11,491	649	5.99%
4	10,842	11,613	771	7.11%
68	10,842	11,642	800	7.38%
80	10,842	11,776	934	8.61%
69	10,842	11,888	1,046	9.65%
6	10,842	11,940	1,098	10.13%
51	10,842	11,994	1,152	10.63%
70	10,842	12,435	1,593	14.69%
54	10,842	12,606	1,764	16.27%
8	10,842	12,747	1,905	17.57%
67	10,842	12,962	2,120	19.55%
96	10,842	13,101	2,259	20.84%
63	10,842	13,538	2,696	24.87%
62	10,842	13,624	2,782	25.66%
64	10,842	14,503	3,661	33.77%
53	10,842	15,754	4,912	45.31%
65	10,842	17,805	6,963	64.22%

9 districts are within
-1 to 1% of ideal population

8 districts are
overpopulated by
1 to 2.99%

4 districts are
overpopulated
by 3 to 4.99%

20 districts are overpopulated by at least 5%

*calculation performed prior to reallocation of prisoners