

A Report to the Montana Legislature

FINANCIAL-COMPLIANCE AUDIT

Department of Military Affairs

For the Two Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2010

January 2011

Legislative Audit Division

10-25

LEGISLATIVE AUDIT COMMITTEE

REPRESENTATIVES

Dee Brown, Vice Chair Betsy Hands Scott Mendenhall Carolyn Pease-Lopez Wayne Stahl Bill Wilson

SENATORS

MITCH TROPILA, CHAIR
GREG BARKUS
JOHN BRENDEN
TAYLOR BROWN
MIKE COONEY
CLIFF LARSEN

AUDIT STAFF

FINANCIAL-COMPLIANCE

David Brammer Jeane Carstensen-Garrett Chris G. Darragh Karen E. Simpson Laura L. N. Toeckes

Performance Audit Megan C. Coy

FRAUD HOTLINE
HELP ELIMINATE FRAUD,
WASTE, AND ABUSE IN
STATE GOVERNMENT.
CALL THE FRAUD
HOTLINE AT:

(STATEWIDE) 1-800-222-4446 (IN HELENA) 444-4446

FINANCIAL-COMPLIANCE AUDITS

Financial-compliance audits are conducted by the Legislative Audit Division to determine if an agency's financial operations are properly conducted, the financial reports are presented fairly, and the agency has complied with applicable laws and regulations. In performing the audit work, the audit staff uses standards set forth by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the United States Government Accountability Office. Financial-compliance audit staff members hold degrees with an emphasis in accounting. Most staff members hold Certified Public Accountant (CPA) certificates.

Government Auditing Standards, the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 and OMB Circular A-133 require the auditor to issue certain financial, internal control, and compliance reports. This individual agency audit report is not intended to comply with these reporting requirements and is therefore not intended for distribution to federal grantor agencies. The Legislative Audit Division issues a statewide biennial Single Audit Report which complies with the above reporting requirements. The Single Audit Report for the two fiscal years ended June 30, 2009, was issued March 1, 2010. The Single Audit Report for the two fiscal years ended by March 31, 2012. Copies of the Single Audit Report can be obtained by contacting:

Single Audit Coordinator
Office of Budget and Program Planning
Room 277, State Capitol
P.O. Box 200802
Helena, MT 59620-0802

Legislative Audit Division Room 160, State Capitol P.O. Box 201705 Helena, MT 59620-1705

Direct comments or inquiries to:

Legislative Audit Division

Room 160, State Capitol

P.O. Box 201705

Helena, MT 59620-1705

(406) 444-3122

Reports can be found in electronic format at:

http://leg.mt.gov/audit

LEGISLATIVE AUDIT DIVISION

Tori Hunthausen, Legislative Auditor Monica Huyg, Legal Counsel



Deputy Legislative Auditors James Gillett Angie Grove

January 2011

The Legislative Audit Committee of the Montana State Legislature:

This is our financial-compliance audit report on the Department of Military Affairs for the two fiscal years ended June 30, 2010. Included in this report are recommendations related to internal controls, federal compliance and accounting issues.

The department's written response to the audit recommendations is included at the end of the audit report. We thank the Adjutant General and his staff for their assistance and cooperation.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Tori Hunthausen

Tori Hunthausen, CPA Legislative Auditor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Figures and Tables	ii
	Appointed and Administrative Officials	iii
	Report Summary	S-1
СНАРТЕ	ER I – INTRODUCTION	1
	Introduction	
	Background	
	Prior Audit Recommendations	
СНАРТЕ	ER II – FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5
	Internal Control	5
	Subrecipient Monitoring	5
	Prevailing Wages	6
	Unallowable Personal Services Costs	6
	Army National Guard Operations and Maintenance	7
	Suspension and Debarment	8
	Contracting	9
	Obligation of Homeland Security Funds	
	Unexploded Ordnance Program	11
	Înternal Controls	11
	Contract Development and Authorization	11
	Accounting Issues	
	Accruals	
	Internal Control Over Contractor Retainage	14
INDEPEN	NDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT AND DEPARTMENT FINANCIAL SO	CHEDULES
	Independent Auditor's Report	A-1
	Schedule of Changes in Fund Balances	
	for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010	A-3
	Schedule of Changes in Fund Balances	
	for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009	A_4
	-	
	Schedule of Total Revenues & Transfers-In	
	for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010	A-5
	Schedule of Total Revenues & Transfers-In	
	for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009	A-6
	Schedule of Total Expenditures & Transfers-Out	
	for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010	A-7
	-	
	Schedule of Total Expenditures & Transfers-Out	Λο
	for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009	
	Notes to the Financial Schedules	A-9
DEPART	MENT RESPONSE	
	Department of Military Affairs	B-1
	- ·	

N / a :a + a :a a	Legislative	A	
ivioritaria		/ want	DIVISION

FIGURES AND TABLES

T	a	b	I	es

ii

APPOINTED AND ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICIALS

Department of Military Affairs

Brigadier General John Walsh, Adjutant General

Karen Revious, Administrator, Centralized Services Division

Ed Tinsley, Administrator, Disaster and Emergency Services Division

Joseph Foster, Administrator, Veterans Affairs Division

Janice Thomson-Rouse, Director, Youth Challenge Program

Mike Stone, Director, STARBASE

Board of Veterans' Affairs Executive Committee

Bob Pavlovich, Chairman

Sylvia Beals, Vice Chairman

Joseph Foster, Administrator, Veterans Affairs Division

		Term Expires
Sylvia Beals	Forsyth	8/01/2014
Mary Creech	Butte	8/01/2014
Charlie Crookshanks	Missoula	8/01/2011
James English	Helena	8/01/2014
Byron Erickson	Helena	8/01/2013
Lesa Evers	Helena	8/01/2013
Keith Heavyrunner	Browning	8/01/2013
James Heffernan	Helena	8/01/2011
Lloyd Jackson	Ronan	8/01/2011
Bernard Jacobs	Helena	8/01/2014
Don Kettner	Glendive	8/01/2012
Teresa Bell	Fort Harrison	8/01/2010
Harry Lafriniere	Florence	8/01/2014
Bob Pavlovich	Butte	8/01/2011

Harvey Rattey	Glendive	8/01/2011
Joe Tropila	Great Falls	8/01/2010
Brigadier General John Walsh	Fort Harrison	8/01/2013
Bruce Knutson, representing Senato	8/01/2012	
Lindsay Bell, representing Senator I	Baucus	8/01/2012
Lawrence Anderson, representing R Rehberg	epresentative	8/01/2012

For additional information concerning the Department of Military Affairs, contact:

Karen Revious Department of Military Affairs P.O. Box 4788 Helena, MT 59604-4789 (406) 324-3330

e-mail: krevious@mt.gov



MONTANA LEGISLATIVE AUDIT DIVISION

FINANCIAL-COMPLIANCE AUDIT

Department of Military Affairs

For the Two Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2010

January 2011 10-25 Report Summary

The Department of Military Affairs (department) provides security to Montana citizens through its support of the Army and Air National Guard programs and administration of the Homeland Security Grant Program. The department assists veterans in receiving their veteran's benefits and provides educational opportunities to at-risk youth.

Context

The department has 193 Full-Time Equivalent Employees (FTE) to carry out its mission. Approximately 40 percent of the total FTE supports the Army and Air National Guard programs and 25 percent of the total provides educational opportunities to at-risk youth. The remaining 35 percent of FTE consists of administration to support the functions at the department, assistance in disaster and emergency situations, and assistance to veterans and their families.

Over 70 percent of the department's operations are federally funded. The department received \$19 million in Homeland Security Grant Funds and granted approximately 95 percent of these to state agencies and local governments.

Results

The department does not have adequate internal controls to ensure it complies with federal regulations for four different federal programs. The first five report sections discuss where the department should implement effective internal controls. We identified

five instances where the department did not comply with federal regulations. Three of these instances relate to the department not monitoring any Homeland Security subrecipients; not providing required Homeland Security funds to local entities, instead spending those local funds on state activities; and charging personal services to federal programs for which the employees did not work.

In addition we found the department made a significant accounting error, resulting in a qualified opinion on its fiscal year 2010 Schedule of Changes in Fund Balances opinion. The remaining schedules are fairly presented.

Recommendation Concurrence				
Concur	10			
Partially Concur	0			
Do Not Concur	0			

Source: Agency audit response included in final report.

Chapter I – Introduction

Introduction

We performed a financial-compliance audit of the Department of Military Affairs (department) for the two fiscal years ended June 30, 2010. The objectives of the audit were to:

- 1. Obtain an understanding of the department's control systems to the extent necessary to support our audit of the department's financial schedules and, if appropriate, make recommendations for improvements in management and internal controls of the department.
- 2. Determine department compliance with selected laws and regulations.
- 3. Determine the implementation status of prior audit recommendations.
- 4. Determine if the financial schedules present fairly the results of operations of the department for each of the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010, and June 30, 2009.

Auditing standards require us to communicate, in writing, control deficiencies we identified as a result of audit objective #1 above and considered to be significant or material. A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency affects management's ability to accurately process transactions. A material weakness is one or more significant deficiencies that adversely affect management's ability to fairly present its financial schedules.

Table 1 below outlines the status of significant deficiencies and material weaknesses we identified during this audit.

Table 1 <u>Summary of Control Deficiencies</u>					
Subject	Significant Deficiency	Material Weakness	Page		
Retainage Internal Controls	Yes	No	14		
netamage internal Controls	res	INO	14		

This report contains ten recommendations to the department. In accordance with \$5-13-307, MCA, we analyzed and disclosed, if significant, the costs of implementing the recommendations made in this report. Other areas of concern deemed not to have a significant effect on the successful operations of the department are not specifically included in the report, but have been discussed with management.

Background

The department was created under the Executive Reorganization Act of 1971. The department consists of the following programs and authorized full-time equivalent positions (FTE) for fiscal year 2009-10.

Montana National Guard is authorized approximately 78 FTE that are paid through the state's payroll system. An additional 867 full-time personnel are paid through the federal payroll system. The Montana National Guard has two programs – the Air National Guard and the Army National Guard. The Air National Guard provides firefighting personnel, maintenance, and support for Air National Guard facilities at Great Falls. The Army National Guard provides administration, construction, maintenance, and support for military facilities and training areas throughout the state.

<u>Centralized Services Division</u> (12 FTE) is the primary administrative support organization for the department, including financial management, budgeting, personnel, and other administrative functions.

<u>Disaster and Emergency Services Division</u> (DES) (23 FTE) works with local, state, and federal officials to prepare, update, and coordinate emergency preparedness, response and recovery plans. DES provides technical support for civil defense shelters, exercises, and radiological defense and monitoring. The division also receives, records, and disburses federal funds to eligible government entities.

Montana National Guard Youth Challenge Program (48 FTE) is a program for youth ages 16 to 18 who stopped attending secondary school before graduating. Challenge is a 17-month, voluntary, military-modeled training program. It targets unemployed, drug-free, nonfelons who are not currently under judicial supervision. The program provides an opportunity for eligible high school age youths to enhance their life skills, increase their educational levels, and increase their employment potential.

<u>Veterans Affairs Division</u> (30 FTE) is responsible for assisting Montana's veterans and dependents in obtaining veterans benefits and managing the State Veterans Cemetery program. The division provides information on benefits, guidance on completing veterans administration forms, and referral to other agencies. The division is attached to the department for administrative purposes. The division administrator is hired by, and reports to, the Board of Veterans Affairs, a 20-member board, of which 17 are appointed by the Governor and three represent the members of Montana's congressional delegation.

Montana STARBASE Program (2 FTE) is for elementary school aged children. Its goals include raising interest and improving the knowledge and skills in math, science, and technology by exposing the students and their teachers to real world applications of math and science. The program uses positive role models found on military bases and installations to implement its experimental learning, simulations, experiments in aviation and space-related fields. This science and math based program also addresses drug use prevention, health, self-esteem, and life skills issues.

Montana Military Family Relief Fund (MMFRF), provides monetary grants to families of Montana National Guard and Reserve Component members who, on or after April 28, 2007, are on active duty for federal service in a contingency operation. MMFRF grants are intended to help Montana families defray the costs of food, housing, utilities, medical services, and other expenses that become difficult to afford when a wage-earner has temporarily left civilian employment to be placed on active military duty.

Montana Guard Scholarship Program was established by the 56th Legislature to assist in recruiting and retention efforts for the Montana Air and Army National Guard. The program provides scholarships to eligible Montana National Guard personnel enrolled as undergraduate students at Montana colleges, universities, or in training programs.

Prior Audit Recommendations

Our office performed the department's financial-compliance audit for the two fiscal years ended June 30, 2008. The report contained eight recommendations, of which the department implemented six and did not implement two. The recommendations not implemented concern subrecipient monitoring which is discussed again on page 5, and obligation of Homeland Security funds which is discussed again on page 10.

Chapter II – Findings and Recommendations

Internal Control

Federal regulations require the department to maintain internal control over federal programs to provide reasonable assurance it manages its federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, or grant agreements. Without adequate internal control the department has a greater risk of noncompliance with federal laws and regulations or improper use of federal funds resulting in unallowable or questioned costs. The following five report sections discuss instances where the department did not have adequate internal control over its federal programs.

Subrecipient Monitoring

The department did not monitor any of its Homeland Security subrecipients in fiscal years 2009 or 2010.

Over the past three years the department received Homeland Security grant awards amounting to approximately \$19 million, of which all but approximately \$0.5 million was granted to state agencies and local governments. The previous audit recommended the department implement effective monitoring controls to ensure subrecipients comply with federal requirements. The department established monitoring controls; however, it did not monitor any of its subrecipients.

Federal regulations require the department to monitor subrecipients to ensure that federal awards are used for authorized purposes in compliance with laws, regulations, and grant agreements. Without adequate monitoring, there is an increased risk Homeland Security funds are not being spent in accordance with federal laws, regulations or the grant agreement, creating unallowable costs.

Department personnel indicated they did not have adequate staff to perform monitoring in fiscal year 2009. In fiscal year 2010, the department hired new staff and established monitoring controls, but did not implement the monitoring controls due to staff reorganization.

RECOMMENDATION #1

We recommend the department implement its established internal controls by monitoring its subrecipients in accordance with federal regulations.

Prevailing Wages

The department's internal controls over prevailing wages are not adequate to detect noncompliance.

Contractors are required to pay prevailing wages in accordance with federal regulations on American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) construction contracts.

The department requires contractors to submit payrolls certifying the contractor paid prevailing wages. However, the department does not have controls in place to review the payrolls they receive to verify if prevailing wages were, in fact, paid.

Department personnel believe it is not necessary to review the payrolls because the contractors certify they paid the required prevailing wages. By not reviewing the payrolls, the department does not know if the contractors are actually paying correct wages. In fiscal year 2010, the department spent \$1.5 million of its \$2.8 million ARRA grant on 23 construction contracts.

The department should establish controls that include review of the certified payrolls, to verify prevailing wages are being paid for ARRA projects as required by federal regulations.

RECOMMENDATION #2

We recommend the department establish effective internal controls to ensure contractor's pay prevailing wages in accordance with federal regulations.

Unallowable Personal Services Costs

The Disaster and Emergency Services Division internal controls over charging time are not adequate, resulting in unallowable personal services costs.

According to federal regulations, for costs to be allowable to a federal program they must be necessary for the performance or the administration of the federal program. We identified the following two instances where the Disaster and Emergency Services Division (division) employees charged time to federal grants that was not supported by actual work performed.

• Three employees are budgeted and paid entirely from the Emergency Management Performance Grant (EMPG). While a portion of their time

- is spent working on EMPG, these employees also perform duties in other federal or state programs. Division management stated with the variety of tasks they perform, it is easier to charge EMPG rather than track the hours on the variety of programs.
- Division fiscal personnel provided the current four Homeland Security program staff codes to charge their time for work performed. Program management assumed these codes charged all five of the federal Homeland Security grants. However, these codes only charge two of the five grants in the Homeland Security program.

During fiscal years 2009 and 2010, the division charged \$1.85 million to federal programs. Since division policy and procedures do not provide a system to ensure only actual time worked on a federal program is charged to that program, and some of these costs are not allowable, we believe questioned costs charged to federal programs could exceed \$10,000.

RECOMMENDATION #3

We recommend the department establish internal controls to ensure only allowable personal service costs are charged to federal programs in accordance with federal regulations.

Army National Guard Operations and Maintenance

The department did not have adequate internal controls to ensure the Army National Guard appropriately paid expenditures after the grant period closed.

National Guard regulations require the department to submit a listing of unliquidated obligations to the United States Property and Fiscal Officer (USPFO) within 90 days of the end of the federal fiscal year. The USPFO approves or denies the department's request to liquidate these obligations from the previous federal fiscal year's grant.

In December 2008, the department submitted its listing of unliquidated obligations against its 2008 federal fiscal year grant to the USPFO. The USPFO approved the listing except for five obligations amounting to \$18,336. Ninety days after federal fiscal year 2008 ended, the department paid three of the unapproved obligations, totaling \$17,378, from the federal fiscal 2008 grant.

Department staff believed the USPFO approved all obligations not realizing unliquidated obligations could be denied. Since department staff did not review the

listing, they did not notify program personnel that five obligations were denied. This lack of knowledge resulted in program personnel paying three denied obligations.

As a result of the above, we question the allowability of \$17,378 charged to the federal fiscal year 2008 Army National Guard's Operations and Maintenance grant.

RECOMMENDATION #4

We recommend department establish internal controls to ensure it only pays approved unliquidated obligations in accordance with National Guard regulations.

Suspension and Debarment

The department does not have adequate documentation of its internal controls to ensure it does not contract with suspended or debarred architects and engineers.

Federal regulations do not allow the department to contract with any entities that are suspended or debarred by the federal government. The department does not have adequate controls in place to ensure the architects and engineers with whom it contracts are not suspended or debarred. Without adequate internal control, the department could contract with a suspended or debarred architects and engineers, resulting in unallowable costs charged to the federal program. Federal American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds flow through this control structure.

Department management said the project managers periodically review the federal suspended and debarred list for contractors that are suspended or debarred. Management believes if a project manager found an architect or engineer in the list, they would let other project managers know of the suspension or debarment. This review process is not documented, and there is no established frequency for it to occur. Without documentation of these controls or a required frequency of review, the department cannot ensure it complies with federal suspension or debarment regulations. Additionally, firms could become suspended or debarred between the last time a project manager verified the federal list and the time the department enters into the contract with the architect and engineering firm.

RECOMMENDATION #5

We recommend the department establish and document adequate internal controls to ensure it complies with federal suspension and debarment regulations.

Contracting

The department did not follow state procurement policy when awarding a contract resulting in questioned costs of \$22,500.

Federal regulations require the department to follow state policies and procedures when issuing federally funded contracts. State policy allows the department to use criteria other than cost when it awards a contract; however, vendors must be provided with all the criteria and their relative importance to the contract in writing.

The department sent a limited solicitation to vendors to assist in the development of the state's Disaster and Emergency plan. The solicitation requested the contractor have knowledge and experience in various areas. The solicitation did not specifically indicate the vendors needed knowledge and experience in all areas, nor did it state individuals would be ranked on their knowledge or experience in the requested areas of expertise. The department received two bids. The Disaster and Emergency Services Division management did not select the low bidder because they believed the low bidder was not as qualified as the higher bidder.

The department does have controls over procurement; however, in this instance management overrode the existing control structure and awarded the contract to the higher bidder. Through discussions with management, this appears to be an isolated instance. The department should follow state procurement policy when awarding contracts.

Since the department paid on a contract where it did not follow applicable regulations during the procurement process, we question the allowability of \$22,500 charged to the Emergency Management Performance Grant.

RECOMMENDATION #6

We recommend the department follow its established control structure and state procurement policy when awarding contracts.

Obligation of Homeland Security Funds

The department did not obligate and spend funds in accordance with federal guidelines, resulting in questioned costs.

According to federal guidelines, the department is required to obligate at least 80 percent of Homeland Security funds to local governments within 45 days of receipt of the funds. Obligating funds requires the department to establish a firm, unconditional commitment on the part of the state, maintain documentation of the commitment, and communicate the award terms to the subrecipient.

In fiscal year 2010, the department did not obligate the required minimum 80 percent of Homeland Security funds to local governments. The department wanted to award \$64,227 of local government funds to a group that did not meet the definition of a local government. Rather than awarding the funds to the group, the department expended \$11,365 on behalf of the group. The department should not have expended these funds.

Through review of eight grant awards in fiscal years 2009 and 2010, we identified six instances where the department issued grant awards amounting to \$3,646,103 to local governments after the required 45-day timeframe outlined in the Homeland Security grant guidelines. Department personnel were aware of the obligation requirement because it was a prior audit recommendation. Department staff indicated they were unable to obligate funds in the required timeframe because they were understaffed.

Since the department did not obligate homeland security funds in accordance with federal guidelines, we question \$3,710,330 of the Homeland Security grant.

RECOMMENDATION #7

We recommend the department obligate and spend Homeland Security funds in accordance with federal guidelines.

Unexploded Ordnance Program

The Unexploded Ordnance program personnel are not aware of all administrative requirements resulting in non-compliance with state policy and inadequate program internal controls.

The department operates an Unexploded Ordnance program (UXO) which is charged with finding unexploded ordnances across the state of Montana from past National Guard firing ranges. This program is currently 100 percent federally funded. Program staff consists of two employees. To meet its mission the department currently has two contracts that cover four sites across the state, and one contract with a bomb expert to provide employee training.

Internal Controls

State policy requires the department to document its internal controls. The UXO program does not have its internal control procedures for contract monitoring documented. Program personnel stated the UXO program was formed in the past year and UXO program personnel were not aware of the requirement to document their internal controls. Program personnel stated there could be additional state or department policies they are not following due to their lack of knowledge of applicable requirements. The UXO program should document its internal controls and work with department personnel to determine whether there are other state and department policies and procedures that are applicable to the UXO program.

Contract Development and Authorization

For costs to be allowable to the UXO program, they must be in accordance with federal and state laws, regulations, and policies. The UXO program is also required to maintain internal control over the program to provide reasonable assurance it complies with laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements. Knowledge and training are an integral part of an adequate internal control structure.

Written contracts bind the state and its contractors to specific performance. If the department does not appropriately authorize contracts or clearly document expectations for its contractors, it may become liable for costs that are not allowable according to federal or state laws and regulations.

During our audit we identified the following instances in the training contract where the department's internal controls should be improved to ensure contracts are appropriately authorized and clearly document the department's needs.

- The signed UXO training contract was written as a fixed price contract to provide training over a 64-day period. Department personnel represented the cost of the contract was based on supplies and a specific number of training hours at an hourly rate. The contract does not reflect the number of training hours, the hourly rate, or the cost for supplies.
- The training contract required the contractor to provide a logbook documenting all training at the completion of the contract. The UXO program did not receive this logbook. Program personnel stated they did not require the logbook because they worked closely with the contractor.
- The contract was not clear on the time period of the training. The contract was valid for 64-days; however the contract stated that training was to cover a 90-day period.
- Department policy requires all contracts and amendments be signed by authorized personnel. We found one contract amendment was signed by a federal National Guard employee, who is not an employee of the department and is not authorized to sign on behalf of the department. Department personnel did not know why the contract was signed by an unauthorized person.

Program personnel stated the UXO is a new program, and they are not aware of all the regulations. They were relying on the procurement office at the department to ensure the contracts met procurement standards. It is the department's responsibility to ensure the contracts are appropriately authorized and clearly document the contractor's responsibilities to ensure costs are allowable in accordance with federal and state laws and regulations.

RECOMMENDATION #8

We recommend the department:

- A. Document its internal controls over contract monitoring in accordance with state policy.
- B. Provide training to UXO personnel regarding state and department policies and regulations.
- C. Follow its established procedures for contract authorization, and
- D. Establish adequate internal control to ensure contracts are clearly developed for costs to be allowable in accordance with federal regulations.

Accounting Issues

Section 17-1-102(4), MCA, requires the department to input transactions on the state's accounting system to present the receipt, use, and disposition of money and property for which it is accountable in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). However for budgetary control purposes, encumbrances must be recorded as expenditures and liabilities on the accounting records. Noncompliance with this law may lead to misstatements on the financial schedules and in the underlying accounting records.

The following two report sections identify instances where the department did not record its financial activity in accordance with state law.

Accruals

The department did not record required accruals and recorded other accruals in error.

State accounting policy requires the department to record accruals for liabilities that occur before the end of the fiscal year. In addition, state policy allows the department to accrue the full amount of legally binding contracts where performance is not complete by the end of the fiscal year. State accounting policy requires these two types of accruals be accounted for differently.

During fiscal years 2009 and 2010, the department did not record both types of accruals in accordance with state accounting policy, as discussed below:

- Construction and Facility Management Office (CFMO) personnel did not accrue liabilities of \$1,289,672 and \$185,402 that occurred before the end of fiscal years 2009 and 2010, respectively. CFMO personnel stated there could be up to 30 projects where they did not properly accrue expenditures. They believe that potential additional unrecorded accruals would not likely exceed \$120,000 each year.
- CFMO personnel accrued \$115,326 under a legally binding contract as though performance had not been completed by the end of the fiscal year; however, the work actually had been completed by June 30, 2009.
- CFMO personnel accrued \$80,836 more expenditures in fiscal year 2009, than remained on an outstanding legally binding contract.
- Disaster and Emergency Services personnel accrued three outstanding grant award balances before fiscal year-end. The department paid \$30,167 to the grantees during the fiscal year-end period without reducing this accrual.

Department personnel indicated that human error and lack of knowledge resulted in the department making the accrual errors.

RECOMMENDATION #9

We recommend the department record accruals in accordance with state law and accounting policy.

Internal Control Over Contractor Retainage

The department's internal controls over retainage are not adequate.

State accounting policy requires state agencies to implement internal control procedures to ensure that all transactions are recorded in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. It also requires each agency develop internal control procedures based upon their individual business processes.

The department contracts for the construction of and repairs on buildings. It withholds a portion of each contractor's payment (retainage) until the project is completed to the department's satisfaction. Department personnel will release the retainage to the contractor after an inspection of the completed project.

In fiscal year 2010, a project manager released a portion of the retainage on a construction project. When accounting for this transaction, CFMO personnel increased rather than decreased retainage by \$572,879. The individual reviewing and approving this transaction did not identify this error. Through review of the retainage account in the Capital Projects Fund, we identified additional errors in fiscal years 2010 and 2011. When we brought this to the department's attention, CMFO Management indicated their procedures were not adequate to ensure retainage was appropriately recorded on the state's accounting records and have since updated their procedures.

These errors overstated Budgeted Expenditures and understated Fund Balance as of June 30, 2010, by \$1,188,757 in the Capital Projects Fund on the Schedule of Changes in Fund Balances. This resulted in the opinion qualification on page A-1.

RECOMMENDATION #10

We recommend the department implement effective internal control over its accounting for retainage transactions as required by state accounting policy.

Independent Auditor's Report and Department Financial Schedules

LEGISLATIVE AUDIT DIVISION

Tori Hunthausen, Legislative Auditor Monica Huyg, Legal Counsel



Deputy Legislative Auditors James Gillett Angie Grove

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Legislative Audit Committee of the Montana State Legislature:

We have audited the accompanying Schedules of Changes in Fund Balances, Schedules of Total Revenues & Transfers-In, and Schedules of Total Expenditures & Transfers-Out of the department of Military Affairs for each of the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010, and 2009. The information contained in these financial schedules is the responsibility of the department's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial schedules based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial schedules are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial schedules. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial schedule presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As described in note 1, these financial schedules are prepared on the basis of Montana state accounting policy, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The schedules are not intended to be a complete presentation and disclosure of the department's assets and liabilities.

During fiscal year 2010, the department recorded expenditures it had not incurred in the Capital Projects Fund. On the 2010 Schedule of Changes in Fund Balances, Budgeted Expenditures are overstated and Ending Fund Balance is understated by \$1,188,757.

In our opinion, except for the matter discussed in the previous paragraph, the financial schedules referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the results of operations and changes in fund balances of the department of Military Affairs for each of the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010, and 2009, in conformity with the basis of accounting described in note 1.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James Gillett

James Gillett, CPA Deputy Legislative Auditor

September 2, 2010

DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

	General Fund	State Special Revenue Fund	Federal Special Revenue Fund	Capital Projects Fund
FUND BALANCE: July 1, 2009	\$ (378,524)	2,020,424 \$	(3,310,059) \$	0
ADDITIONS				
Budgeted Revenues & Transfers-In	30,553	979,760	53,117,056	13,143,287
Nonbudgeted Revenues & Transfers-In	7,687	551		
Prior Year Revenues & Transfers-In Adjustments	54	49	187,142	(302,603)
Direct Entries to Fund Balance	6,781,901	80,244	(233,154)	7,066
Total Additions	6,820,195	1,060,604	53,071,044	12,847,750
REDUCTIONS				
Budgeted Expenditures & Transfers-Out	7,230,481	1,236,053	55,209,856	14,294,238
Nonbudgeted Expenditures & Transfers-Out	(396)	(884)	(51,084)	
Prior Year Expenditures & Transfers-Out Adjustments	(2,695)	(6,890)	(2,214,689)	
Total Reductions	7,227,390	1,228,279	52,944,083	14,294,238
FUND BALANCE: June 30, 2010	\$ (785,719) \$	1,852,749 \$	(3,183,098) \$	(1,446,488)

DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

	General Fund	State Special Revenue Fund	Federal Special Revenue Fund	Capital Projects Fund
FUND BALANCE: July 1, 2008	\$ (359,066) \$	2,283,521 \$	(1,073,156) \$	0
ADDITIONS				
Budgeted Revenues & Transfers-In	293,284	973,767	49,578,663	7,670,000
Nonbudgeted Revenues & Transfers-In	692	1,072		
Prior Year Revenues & Transfers-In Adjustments	28	102,208	6,807,221	(35,727)
Direct Entries to Fund Balance	5,982,857	30,872	(7,199,903)	43,381
Total Additions	6,276,861	1,107,919	49,185,981	7,677,654
REDUCTIONS				
Budgeted Expenditures & Transfers-Out	6,302,545	1,366,594	51,467,784	7,677,654
Nonbudgeted Expenditures & Transfers-Out	(3,991)	(244)	51,084	
Prior Year Expenditures & Transfers-Out Adjustments	(2,235)	4,666	(95,984)	
Total Reductions	6,296,319	1,371,016	51,422,884	7,677,654
FUND BALANCE: June 30, 2009	\$ (378,524) \$	2,020,424 \$	(3,310,059) \$	0

DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS SCHEDULE OF TOTAL REVENUES & TRANSFERS-IN FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

	_	General Fund		State Special Revenue Fund		Federal Special Revenue Fund	•	al Projects Fund		Total
TOTAL REVENUES & TRANSFERS-IN BY CLASS			_						_	
Licenses and Permits			\$	553					\$	553
Taxes	\$	798			\$	1,095				1,893
Charges for Services		29,221		178,921		3,418				211,560
Investment Earnings				1,838						1,838
Rentals, Leases and Royalties				440						440
Grants, Contracts, and Donations				15,737						15,737
Transfers-in				782,489			\$ 13	3,143,287	•	13,925,776
Capital Asset Sale Proceeds		167				4,014				4,181
Federal Indirect Cost Recoveries						100,896				100,896
Miscellaneous		8,108		382						8,490
Federal						53,194,775		(302,603)	Ę	52,892,172
Total Revenues & Transfers-In	-	38,294		980,360	_	53,304,198	12	2,840,684	(67,163,536
Less: Nonbudgeted Revenues & Transfers-In		7,687		551						8,238
Prior Year Revenues & Transfers-In Adjustments		54		49		187,142		(302,603)		(115,358)
Actual Budgeted Revenues & Transfers-In	-	30,553		979,760	_	53,117,056	13	3,143,287	(67,270,656
Estimated Revenues & Transfers-In		30,553		979,271		53,117,056	13	3,143,287		67,270,167
Budgeted Revenues & Transfers-In Over (Under) Estimated	\$	(0)	\$	489	\$	0		(0)		489
BUDGETED REVENUES & TRANSFERS-IN OVER (UNDER) ESTIMATED BY CLASS Charges for Services Investment Earnings										
Transfers-in			\$	489					\$	488
Federal Indirect Cost Recoveries			Ψ	700	\$	1			*	1
Miscellaneous					Ψ	•				•
Federal						(1)				(1)
Budgeted Revenues & Transfers-In Over (Under) Estimated	\$	(0)	\$	489	\$	0	\$	(0)	<u>s</u> –	489
Badgotod November & Handiers III Over (Grader) Estimated	Ψ.	(0)	_Ψ	709	Ψ=		Ψ	(0)	Ψ_	700

DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS SCHEDULE OF TOTAL REVENUES & TRANSFERS-IN FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

		eneral Fund		State Special Revenue Fund		eral Special enue Fund	Сар	ital Projects Fund		Total
TOTAL REVENUES & TRANSFERS-IN BY CLASS										
Licenses and Permits			\$	40,590					\$	40,590
Taxes	\$	692		9	\$	1,427				2,119
Charges for Services	29	3,312		131,990		3,192				428,494
Investment Earnings				13,738						13,738
Rentals, Leases and Royalties				400						400
Grants, Contracts, and Donations				9,078						9,078
Transfers-in				881,251			\$	7,331,670		8,212,921
Federal Indirect Cost Recoveries						69,365				69,365
Federal					5	6,311,900		302,603	5	6,614,503
Total Revenues & Transfers-In	29	4,004	_	1,077,047	5	6,385,884		7,634,273	6	5,391,208
Less: Nonbudgeted Revenues & Transfers-In		692		1,072						1,764
Prior Year Revenues & Transfers-In Adjustments		28		102,208		6,807,221		(35,727)		6,873,730
Actual Budgeted Revenues & Transfers-In	29	3,284	_	973,767	4	9,578,663		7,670,000	5	8,515,714
Estimated Revenues & Transfers-In	29	3,285		923,770	4	9,578,669		7,670,001	5	8,465,725
Budgeted Revenues & Transfers-In Over (Under) Estimated	\$	(1)	\$	49,997	\$	(6)	\$	(1)	\$	49,989
BUDGETED REVENUES & TRANSFERS-IN OVER (UNDER) ESTIMATED BY CLASS										
Charges for Services	\$	(1)	\$	(1)	\$	(1)			\$	(3)
Investment Earnings				50,000						50,000
Transfers-in				(2)			\$	(1)		(3)
Federal Indirect Cost Recoveries						(2)				(2)
Federal						(3)				(3)
Budgeted Revenues & Transfers-In Over (Under) Estimated	\$	(1)	\$	49,997	\$		\$	(1)	\$_	49,989

DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS SCHEDULE OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES & TRANSFERS-OUT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

	AIR NATIONAL GUARD PROGRAM	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD PROGRAM	CENTRALIZED SERVICES DIVISION	CHALLENGE PROGRAM	DISASTER & EMERGENCY SERVICES	DISASTER FUND	MILITARY CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION	MONTANA MILITARY FAMILY RELIEF FUND	SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM	STARBASE	VETERANS AFFAIRS PROGRAM	Total
PROGRAM (ORG) EXPENDITURES & TRANSFERS-OUT												
Personal Services Salaries Hourly Wages Employee Benefits Total	\$ 1,558,989 \$ 583,219 2,142,208	\$ 1,917,491 \$ 645,141 2,562,632	670,873 \$ 181,428 852,301	6 1,603,593 \$ 619,972 2,223,565	1,305,886 \$ 411,905 1,717,791	12,759 93 1,295 14,147				\$ 90,044 30,010 120,054	\$ 1,046,805 397,609 1,444,414	\$ 8,206,440 93 2,870,579 11,077,112
Operating Expenses Other Services Supplies & Materials Communications Travel Rent Utilities Repair & Maintenance Other Expenses Goods Purchased For Resale Total	740,452 140,928 920 4,101 (3,475) 733,497 120,013 11,876	6,405,399 327,378 337,686 81,626 615,648 1,687,286 4,138,129 59,531 2,055 13,654,738	19,342 25,161 15,462 13,764 23,510	457,389 350,614 71,746 76,276 237,688 2,065 87,707 75,498	326,597 151,372 59,636 163,008 8,346 963 35,392 60,259	2,644 S 1,669 264 4,577	\$ 59,335 2,375 788 689,323		\$ 209,358	8,532 19,575 1,873 31,303 122,471	36,196 96,251 64,435 27,731 85,239 15,394 29,602 15,584	8,055,886 1,113,654 552,546 399,478 943,446 2,439,205 5,100,166 578,351 2,055
Equipment & Intangible Assets Equipment Total		213,345 213,345										213,345 213,345
Capital Outlay Buildings Other Improvements Total		946,333 475 946,808					18,544,901 12,269 18,557,170				(6,147)	19,485,087 12,744 19,497,831
Grants From State Sources From Federal Sources From Other Sources Total					479,071 11,063,079 (51,084) 11,491,066	283,240 44,283 327,523		\$ 27,500				789,811 11,107,362 (51,084) 11,846,089
Benefits & Claims To Individuals Total			2,281 2,281									2,281 2,281
Transfers-out Fund transfers Total					729,258 729,258		13,143,287 13,143,287					13,872,545 13,872,545
Total Expenditures & Transfers-Out	\$ 3,890,520	\$ 17,377,523	951,821	3,582,548 \$	14,743,688	346,247	\$ 32,452,278	\$ 27,500	\$ 209,358	303,808	\$ 1,808,699	\$ 75,693,990
EXPENDITURES & TRANSFERS-OUT BY FUND												
General Fund State Special Revenue Fund Federal Special Revenue Fund Capital Projects Fund	\$ 362,489 \$ 3,528,031	\$ 2,495,264 \$ 440 14,881,819	634,009 \$ 317,812	1,295,854 \$ 7,088 2,279,606	1,053,908 \$ 259,096 13,430,684	301,964 44,283		\$ 27,500	\$ 209,358	\$ 303,808	\$ 874,544 934,155	\$ 7,227,390 1,228,279 52,944,083 14,294,238
Total Expenditures & Transfers-Out Less: Nonbudgeted Expenditures & Transfers-Out Prior Year Expenditures & Transfers-Out Adjustment	3,890,520 (19) ss 7,987	17,377,523 (28) (1,004,762)	951,821 (50) 177	3,582,548 (223) (1,734)	14,743,688 (51,160) (1,220,718)	346,247 2,644	32,452,278	27,500	209,358	303,808	1,808,699 (884) (6,890)	75,693,990 (52,364) (2,224,274)
Actual Budgeted Expenditures & Transfers-Out Budget Authority Unspent Budget Authority	3,882,552 3,943,931 \$ 61,379	18,382,313 25,503,375 7,121,062	951,694 1,384,273 432,579 \$	3,584,505 3,603,037	16,015,566 32,219,399 16,203,833	343,603 827,395 483,792	32,452,278 121,289,422 88,837,144	27,500 81,750 \$ 54,250	209,408 245,000 \$ 35,592	304,736 381,971 77,235	1,816,473 2,024,787	77,970,628 191,504,340 \$ 113,533,712
UNSPENT BUDGET AUTHORITY BY FUND												
General Fund State Special Revenue Fund Federal Special Revenue Fund Capital Projects Fund	\$ 2,484 5	19,560 6,400,743	89,961 327,372	788 15,360	123,135 16,076,225	424,280	\$ 67,029,092 21,808,052	\$ 54,250		\$ 77,235	\$ 3,150 205,164	492,858 90,409,202 21,808,052
Unspent Budget Authority	\$ 61,379	\$ 7,121,062	432,579	18,532 \$	16,203,833	483,792	\$ 88,837,144	\$ 54,250	\$ 35,592	\$ 77,235	\$ 208,314	\$ 113,533,712

DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS SCHEDULE OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES & TRANSFERS-OUT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

	FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2009											
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD PROGRAM	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD PROGRAM	CENTRALIZED SERVICES DIVISION	CHALLENGE PROGRAM	DISASTER & EMERGENCY SERVICES	DISASTER FUND	MILITARY CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION	MONTANA MILITARY FAMILY RELIEF FUND	SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM	STARBASE	VETERANS AFFAIRS PROGRAM	Total
PROGRAM (ORG) EXPENDITURES & TRANSFERS-OUT												
Personal Services Salaries Hourly Wages Employee Benefits Total	611,961 2,179,717	1,789,131 \$ 592,285 2,381,416	646,044 \$ 167,829 813,873	\$ 1,504,739 \$ 584,354 2,089,093	1,034,087 \$ 1,977 329,553 1,365,617	21,328 \$ 1,259 (739) 21,848	1,482 433 1,915			\$ 98,811 30,679 129,490	\$ 939,929 \$ 344,578 1,284,507	7,603,307 3,236 2,660,933 10,267,476
Operating Expenses Other Services Supplies & Materials Communications Travel Rent Utilities Repair & Maintenance Other Expenses Goods Purchased For Resale	751,188 158,268 574 8,668 3,715 731,990 75,429 6,493	6,324,730 681,927 674,378 94,231 510,447 1,934,298 2,949,281 96,305 615	22,196 17,004 9,111 17,766	396,935 198,202 79,543 70,457 230,410 645 77,547 55,873	172,260 94,911 40,796 133,886 4,780 101 50,555 60,747	71 1,189 3,290 10 501	124,131 18,323 1,005 72 647,535		\$ 249,969	7,917 44,374 4,490 15,543 274 132,806	41,425 87,829 70,540 28,972 70,258 13,609 73,666 38,689	7,840,853 1,302,027 880,437 372,813 819,682 2,680,643 3,874,297 655,880 615
Total	1,736,325	13,266,212	80,574	1,109,612	558,036	5,061	791,066		249,969	205,404	424,988	18,427,247
Equipment & Intangible Assets Equipment Total	8,513 8,513	940,019 940,019	222 222				189,805 189,805				13,300 13,300	1,151,859 1,151,859
Capital Outlay Land & Interest In Land Buildings Other Improvements Total							250 10,031,990 5,912 10,038,152				350 82,022 82,372	600 10,114,012 5,912 10,120,524
Grants From State Sources From Federal Sources From Other Sources Total					425,406 14,477,830 51,084 14,954,320	516,114 2,893,460 3,409,574		\$ 113,000				1,054,520 17,371,290 51,084 18,476,894
Benefits & Claims To Individuals Total			2,280 2,280									2,280
Transfers-out Fund transfers Total			1,856 1,856		988,067 988,067		7,331,670 7,331,670					8,321,593 8,321,593
Total Expenditures & Transfers-Out	3,924,555 \$	16,587,647	898,805	\$ 3,198,705 \$	17,866,040	3,436,483	18,352,608	\$ 113,000	\$ 249,969	\$ 334,894	\$ 1,805,167 \$	66,767,873
EXPENDITURES & TRANSFERS-OUT BY FUND												
General Fund State Special Revenue Fund Federal Special Revenue Fund	360,221 \$ 3,564,334	1,425,747 \$ 3,911 15,157,989	627,651 5 5,000 266,154	\$ 1,286,821 \$ 1,911,884	1,078,107 \$ 171,734 16,616,199	\$ 540,007 2,896,476		\$ 113,000	\$ 249,969	\$ 334,894	\$ 727,796 \$ 1,077,371	6,296,319 1,371,016 51,422,884
Capital Projects Fund Total Expenditures & Transfers-Out Less: Nonbudgeted Expenditures & Transfers-Out Prior Year Expenditures & Transfers-Out Adjustments	3,924,555 (96) 1,926	16,587,647 (150) (85,793)	898,805 (607) 57	3,198,705 (968)	17,866,040 50,674 (9,416)	3,436,483 (1,368)	7,677,654 18,352,608	113,000	249,969	334,894	1,805,167 (635) (393)	7,677,654 66,767,873 46,850 (93,554)
Actual Budgeted Expenditures & Transfers-Out Budget Authority Unspent Budget Authority	3,922,725 4,822,386 8 899,661 \$	16,673,590 26,006,454 9,332,864	899,355 1,370,254 470,899	3,199,673 3,305,132 \$ 105,459 \$	17,824,782 32,246,103	3,437,851 4,248,759 8 810,908	18,352,608 134,958,030 116,605,422	113,000 400,000 \$ 287,000	249,969 250,000 \$ 31	334,829 350,000	1,806,195 2,037,211	66,814,577 209,994,329 143,179,752
UNSPENT BUDGET AUTHORITY BY FUND												
General Fund State Special Revenue Fund Federal Special Revenue Fund	8,479 \$ 891,182	1,978,397 \$ 28,088 7,326,379	200 S 186,818 283,881	\$ 948 \$ 104,511	233 \$ 165,876 14,255,212	337,469 473,439		\$ 287,000	\$ 31	\$ 15,171	\$ 252 \$ 230,764	2,326,009 898,546 104,137,906
Capital Projects Fund Unspent Budget Authority	899,661	9,332,864	470,899	\$ 105,459 \$		810,908	35,817,291	\$ 287,000			\$ 231 016 \$	35,817,291 143,179,752
Onspent budget Authority	, <u>099,001</u> ֆ	3,332,004	410,099	Ψ <u>100,408</u> Φ	17,721,321	010,900	110,000,422	201,000	Ψ <u>31</u>	Ψ 10,171	Ψ <u>231,010</u> Φ	173,118,132

Department of Military Affairs Notes to the Financial Schedules For the Two Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2010

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Accounting

The department uses the modified accrual basis of accounting, as defined by state accounting policy, for its Governmental fund category (General, State Special Revenue, and Federal Special Revenue, and Capital Projects) and certain liabilities of defined benefit pension plans and certain post employment healthcare plans. In applying the modified accrual basis, the department records:

- Revenues when it receives cash or when receipts are realizable, measurable, earned, and available to pay current period liabilities.
- Expenditures for valid obligations when the department incurs the related liability and it is measurable, with the exception of the cost of employees' annual and sick leave. State accounting policy requires the department to record the cost of employees' annual and sick leave when used or paid.

Expenditures include: entire budgeted service contracts even though the department receives the services in a subsequent fiscal year; goods ordered with a purchase order before fiscal year-end, but not received as of fiscal year-end; and equipment ordered with a purchase order before fiscal year-end.

Basis of Presentation

The financial schedule format is in accordance with the policy of the Legislative Audit Committee. The financial schedules are prepared from the transactions posted to the state's accounting system without adjustment.

The department uses the following funds:

Governmental Fund Category

- **General Fund** to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.
- State Special Revenue Fund to account for proceeds of specific revenue sources (other than private-purpose trusts or major capital projects) that are legally restricted to expenditures for specific state program purposes. Department State Special Revenue Funds include Veterans Affairs, Veterans Cemeteries, the Military Family Relief Fund and Disaster and Emergency Services.

- Federal Special Revenue Fund to account for activities funded from federal revenue sources. Department Federal Special Revenue Funds include Homeland Security, National Guard, and Military Capital Construction.
- Capital Projects Fund to account for financial resources used for the
 acquisition or construction of major capital facilities, other than those
 financed by proprietary funds or trust funds. The department uses this
 fund for Construction related to the Army National Guard and Veteran's
 Cemeteries.

2. General Fund Balance

The negative fund balance in the General Fund does not indicate overspent appropriation authority. The department has authority to pay obligations from the statewide General Fund within its appropriation limits. The department expends cash or other assets from the statewide fund when it pays General Fund obligations. The department's outstanding liabilities exceed the assets it has placed in the fund, resulting in negative ending General Fund balances for each of the fiscal years ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2010.

3. Direct Entries to Fund Balance

Direct entries to fund balances in the General, State Special Revenue, Federal Special Revenue Fund, and Capital Projects, funds include entries generated by SABHRS to reflect the flow of resources within individual funds shared by separate agencies. The Federal Special Revenue Fund direct entry fund balance decreased by \$6.9 million in fiscal year 2010 since the department no longer receives the Federal Forest Fire Reimbursement Revenue.

4. American Recovery and Reinvestment Act

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) was enacted by the United States Congress to preserve and create jobs and promote economic recovery.

The Department of Military Affairs expects to receive federal funding from ARRA totaling approximately \$2,865,000. Of this amount, \$1,511,814 was received and \$1,530,510 was spent by June 30, 2010. The department did not receive any other competitive or noncompetitive grants or nonmonetary assistance.

Department of Military Affairs

Department Response

DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS



BRIAN SCHWEITZER GOVERNOR ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER 1956 MT MAJO STREET

STATE OF MONTANA

OFFICE OF THE ADJUTANT GENERAL BRIGADIER GENERAL JOHN E. WALSH (406)324-3000 - FAX (406)324-3011 P.O. BOX 4789 FORT HARRISON, MONTANA 59636-4789

December 28, 2010

Tori Hunthausen Legislative Auditor PO Box 201705 Helena, MT 59620-1705 RECEIVED

DEC 2 8 2010

LEGISLATIVE AUDIT DIV.

Dear Ms Hunthausen,

In reply to the Financial Compliance Audit Report received by this office December 22, 2010, we are submitting the following comments:

Recommendation #1:

We concur with your recommendation. Systems have been implemented to support and sustain monitoring. As of December 2010, 50% of federal fiscal year 2010 Department of Homeland Security sub recipients have been monitored to meet federal regulations.

Recommendation #2:

We concur with your recommendation. The department has developed internal controls to ensure contractor's pay prevailing wages in accordance with federal regulations.

Recommendation #3:

We concur with your recommendation. Corrective measures have been established and time and effort are reviewed by supervisors to ensure personal service costs are charged to the appropriate federal program.

Recommendation #4:

We concur with your recommendation. The department has implemented internal controls to ensure it only pays approved unliquidated obligations in accordance with National Guard regulations.

Recommendation #5:

We concur with your recommendation. The department has implemented controls to ensure compliance with federal suspension and debarment regulations.

Recommendation #6:

We concur with your recommendation. The department will follow its established control structure and state procurement policy when awarding contracts.

Recommendation #7:

We concur with your recommendation: New systems have been developed to ensure timely obligation and expenditure in accordance with federal guidelines.

Recommendation #8:

We concur with your recommendation:

- A. The department is in the process of documenting internal controls to ensure contracts are monitored in accordance with state policy.
- B. The department will provide training for UXO personnel regarding state and department policies and regulation.
- C. The department will follow its established procedures for contract authorization.
- D. The department will establish adequate controls to ensure contracts are clearly developed for costs to be allowable in accordance with federal regulation.

Recommendation #9:

We concur with your recommendation. The department has established internal controls to ensure accruals are recorded in accordance with state law and accounting policy.

Recommendation #10:

We concur with your recommendation: The department has established internal controls to ensure retainage transactions are recorded in accordance with state accounting policy.

Sincerely,

Karen Revious Administrator

Centralized Services Division

Laren Leuces