DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Permitting and Compliance Division Air and Waste Management Bureau P.O. Box 200901, Helena, Montana 59620 (406) 444-3490

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA)

Issued For:

United Harvest, LLC

Pompeys Pillar Elevator

200 SW Market Street, Suite 1780

Portland, OR 97201-5715

Air Quality Permit Number: #3126-00 Preliminary Determination Issued: 8/31/00

Department Decision Issued: 9/29/00

Final Permit Issued:

RECEIVED

OCT 0 2 2000

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COUNCIL

- 1. Legal Description of Site: The rail loadout grain sub-terminal is proposed to be located about 0.5 miles west of Exit 23 on U.S. Interstate Highway 94, adjacent to the south side of State Highway 312, and approximately 2.25 miles west-southwest of Pompeys Pillar, Montana. The legal description of the facility is the W ½ of the NW ¼ of Section 28, Township 3 North, Range 30 East, Yellowstone County, Montana.
- 2. Description of Project: The department proposes to issue an air quality preconstruction permit to United Harvest for the construction and operation of a rail loadout grain sub-terminal. The facility would consist of two portions: silos for storing grain and the grain receiving/loadout structure. There would be 4 concrete silos, each approximately 42 feet in diameter and 150 feet tall with individual storage capacity of 164,500 bushels. The grain receiving and loadout structures would occupy an area adjacent to the silos, with a footprint of approximately 76 feet by 64 feet and a receiving building height of about 30 feet. The grain silos and the grain receiving/loadout structure would occupy approximately 3 acres of the 97-acre parcel. The Burlington Northern/Santa Fe railroad would construct a loop rail track on a small portion of the 97-acre parcel.

Locally grown grains would be trucked in by hopper truck. Each truck would be weighed on a platform scale and a probe would take a sample of the inbound grain for quality assurance measures. The trucks would be routed to the receiving building where both truck hoppers could be simultaneously discharged into an elongated receiving pit. Particulate matter emissions from the unloading operation would be collected by a negative air system and routed to a cyclone for control. Enclosed drag conveyors and a bucket elevator, rated at 20,000 bushels per hour, would route the grain into concrete storage silos, or to a bulk weigher located over the railroad track. An enclosed drag conveyor would be used to transport the grain from the storage silos. Both the reclaim conveyor and the shipping leg would be sized to handle 50,000 bushels per hour. The bulk loadout scale would be sized to allow a 110-car unit train to be loaded in a 12-hour shift.

The facility would be owned by United Grain Corporation of Portland, Oregon. United Harvest, LLC is a 50-50 joint venture of United Grain Corporation and Cenex Harvest States Cooperatives. United Harvest would manage the construction phase of the project. Upon completion, the facility would be leased by United Grain Corporation to United Harvest, LLC for operation. United Harvest, LLC would utilize the Cenex Harvest States local office in Lewistown, and its personnel, to operate the facility.

3. Objectives of Project: The proposed facility would receive, store, and ship grain for the area farmers. The proposed facility would provide area producers and local country grain elevators with a regional site for high speed loading of locally produced whole grains. The high-speed rail loading should result in more favorable shipping rates from the local railroad.

Alternatives Considered:

- A. In addition to the proposed action, the department also considered the "no action" alternative. The "no action" alternative would deny the issuance of the air quality preconstruction permit to the proposed facility. Under the "no action" alternative the proposed facility would not be built and the effects discussed in this EA would not occur.
- B. In addition to the proposed action and the "no action" alternative, the department reviewed information from the applicant relating to criteria used to select the proposed site. The applicant has indicated that there are no other sites that met their criteria, as follows:
 - Access to areas with sufficient grain production predominately in Yellowstone and Big Horn counties, but possibly areas further east.
 - Engineering feasibility a relatively flat, 100-acre site to accommodate a loop track for trains.
 - Adjacent to Burlington Northern Santa Fe railroad main line business plan predicated on ability to earn BNSF efficiency incentives. This meant being east of Jones Junction (east of Huntley) where the BNSF line intersects Montana Rail Link.
 - Land without zoning or land-use restrictions a site where construction of this type of facility would be allowed.
 - Access to suitable roads, highways or freeways.
 - Rural location.
 - Land outside a flood plain.
 - Property availability landowners willing to sell.

The department did not receive any comments that contained a specific site that met these criteria. In addition, the department reviewed the proposed site and determined that the operation at the proposed location can comply with all applicable air quality regulations. Therefore, given the demonstration of compliance with all air quality regulations at the proposed site, the department does not have the authority to require the applicant to select an alternative site for the proposed operation.

- 5. A listing of mitigation, stipulations, and other controls: A list of enforceable conditions, including a BACT analysis, would be included in permit #3126-00.
- 6. Regulatory effects on private property: The department has considered alternatives to the conditions that would be imposed in this permit as part of the permit development. The department has determined that the permit conditions would be reasonably necessary to ensure compliance with applicable requirements and demonstrate compliance with those requirements and do not unduly restrict private property rights.
- 7. The following table summarizes the potential physical and biological effects of the proposed project on the human environment. The "no action" alternative was discussed previously.

		Major	Moderate	Minor	None	Unknown	Comments Included
A	Terrestrial and Aquatic Life and Habitats			1			yes
В	Water Quality, Quantity, and Distribution				1		yes
C	Geology and Soil Quality, Stability, and Moisture			1			yes
D	Vegetation Cover, Quantity, and Quality	1		✓			yes
Е	Aesthetics		1				yes
F	Air Quality			7			yes
G	Unique Endangered, Fragile, or Limited Environmental Resource		1				yes
Н	Demands on Environmental Resource of Water, Air and Energy			1			yes
I	Historical and Archaeological Sites		7				yes
J	Cumulative and Secondary Impacts	1	1				yes

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS ON POTENTIAL PHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS: The following comments have been prepared by the department.

A. Terrestrial and Aquatic Life and Habitats

There would be effects on the terrestrial life and habitats in the immediate area of the proposed grain sub-terminal. However, because the area proposed for the grain sub-terminal is relatively small, consisting of about 3 acres on a 97-acre parcel, the proposed facility would only result in minor effects on the terrestrial life or habitats. There would be minor effects on the terrestrial life and habitats in a portion of the remaining area from the construction of the railroad loop track. This effect would be minor because the affected acreage is relatively small as well. The area around the site was formerly farmed for livestock grazing and hay crops. It would be expected to support the same terrestrial life as it did before the project. There would be no effects on the aquatic life and habitats in the area.

B. Water Quality, Quantity, and Distribution

The proposed facility would utilize a well for drinking water. There would be a septic system and drainfield for wastewater and human sewage. There are no wetlands at the site. An irrigation canal crosses the project site and continues on through the property associated with the Pompeys Pillar National Historic Landmark. The proposed facility would not affect the water in the area.

C. Geology and Soil Quality, Stability, and Moisture

There would be an effect on the soils in the immediate area of the proposed rail loadout grain subterminal because there would be new construction of footings, foundations, roads, railroad tracks, etc. Onsite there is about 1 foot of topsoil followed by a 5- to 6-foot layer of clay. The clay layer is underlain by sand and gravel to a depth of about 28 feet, and then claystone bedrock. Excavation at the proposed site would be to a depth of 10 to 20 feet in an area approximately 80 by 80 feet square. The overall area for the proposed grain sub-terminal would cover about 3 acres on a 97-acre parcel. There would be minor effects on the soils in a portion of the remaining area from the construction of the railroad loop track. This effect would be minor because the affected acreage is relatively small, as well. Overall, the effects on geology and soil quality, stability, and moisture would be minor because the amount of disturbed acreage would be small.

D. Vegetation Cover, Quantity, and Quality

There would be effects on vegetation cover, quantity, and quality in the immediate area of the rail loadout grain sub-terminal because there would be new construction of footings, foundations, roads, railroad tracks, etc. The site was formerly farmed for livestock grazing and hay crops and some common weeds and grasses were present. However, the effects on vegetation cover, quantity, and quality would be minor because construction of the proposed grain sub-terminal and the railroad loop track would occur on a small amount of ground, and upon completion of the project the unused adjacent land could return to small-scale farm use.

E. Aesthetics

There would be effects on the aesthetics of the area from the construction of the proposed grain subterminal, as well as from the visible emissions from the proposed facility. The small community of Pompeys Pillar is predominantly rural and agricultural in nature, and it is located about 2.25 miles east-northeast of the proposed facility. The closest residence is located about ¾ of a mile to the west. The Pompeys Pillar historic site is located about ¾ of a mile north of the proposed facility.

The noise level from the facility's exhaust fan is estimated to be 97 decibels at 5 feet. As a point of reference, the noise level from a residential lawn mower is approximately 100 decibels. However, the noise level is expected to be minimized by positioning the fan discharge vertically, rather than horizontally, and by the location of the proposed grain elevator being a distance of ¾ of a mile from Pompeys Pillar. Another factor that would reduce the noise effects is the wind. During the majority of the year, the Yellowstone River Valley funnels the winds along a northeast-southwest axis. Thus, the sound from the proposed facility would be carried away from Pompeys Pillar.

Additionally, there are other features affecting the aesthetics at Pompeys Pillar. In the local area there is an interstate highway, a local highway, the main line of a major railroad, an aircraft warning strobe light on top of a high metal tower, and some unpainted, aluminum grain bins. All of these features are located at approximately the same distance from Pompeys Pillar as the proposed facility would be. However, United Harvest could minimize aesthetic effects by taking measures to lessen the visibility of the proposed facility. Potential measures could include painting the facility a non-reflective color, or employing screening techniques, such as landscape berms or vegetation. Also, the aesthetic effects would be minimized because the visible emissions would be limited by air quality permit #3126-00 to less than 20% opacity. Additionally, the noise effects would be reduced by employing screening techniques, such as landscape berms or vegetation. The aesthetic effects from the proposed facility would be moderate since grain elevators are not out of character in rural, agricultural areas.

F. Air Quality

There would be temporal effects on the air quality of the area due to emissions of particulate matter from the proposed facility and motor vehicle traffic. Based on information submitted in the application, the proposed facility is projected to operate 5 days per week (occasionally on Saturdays) with hours of operation from about 7 AM to 5 PM (may vary seasonally). The effects would probably be most pronounced during and after the harvest season, in late summer and fall. Air quality permit #3126-00 would contain conditions limiting opacity, requiring the use of a negative air system and cyclone to control dust from grain receiving, and a throughput limit of 8 million bushels of grain during any rolling 12-month period. These conditions would limit the amount of air emissions from the proposed facility.

Motor vehicle traffic could also affect the local air quality. Based on information submitted with the application, there is the potential for approximately 5,600 truckloads of grain to be processed by the proposed facility in any rolling 12-month period. Also, there is the potential for another 1,600 vehicle trips to the proposed facility by employees and service vendors. The number of motor vehicle trips related to the proposed facility is relatively minor when compared to the 200,000 visitors that the Bureau of Land Management is projecting annually for Pompeys Pillar, if the proposed interpretative center is built. Air quality permit #3126-00 would contain conditions requiring reasonable precautions be taken to control emissions of airborne particulate matter, including the use of water and/or chemical dust suppressant as necessary, from roads and parking areas. Therefore, compliance with all permit requirements would result in a minor effect on air quality.

G. Unique Endangered, Fragile, or Limited Environmental Resources

The department contacted the Montana Natural Heritage Program (MNHP) in an effort to identify any species of special concern associated with the proposed site location. Search results have concluded there are three such environmental resources in the area. Area, in this case, is defined by the township and range of the proposed site, with an additional 1-mile buffer. The species of special concern include the Bald Eagle, the Great Blue Heron, and the Spiny Softshell. While these resources are found within the defined area, the MNHP search did not indicate any species of

special concern located directly on the proposed site. During the public comment period, a comment was sent to the department stating that a pair of Peregrine Falcons had been observed near Pompeys Pillars during the spring of 2000 and that nesting was suspected but not proven. The MNHP search results did not include a listing for Peregrine Falcons.

It is very unlikely that the Spiny Softshell would be affected by the proposed project since its habitat is restricted to within about 55 yards of a large river and the proposed project site is about 1 mile from the Yellowstone River. There are potential nesting sites for Bald Eagles and Great Blue Herons within approximately 1 mile of the proposed site. However, most of the activities for the proposed facility would not occur during the very sensitive Bald Eagle nesting period of February 1 — May 1 (ref. Montana Bald Eagle Management Plan). The busiest time of year for the proposed facility would occur during and just after the harvest season, approximately August through December. Therefore, it is not expected that the proposed facility would affect any potential population of Eagles in the area. For similar reasons, it is not expected that the proposed facility would affect any potential population of Great Blue Herons and Peregrine Falcons in the area. United Harvest would be responsible for compliance with any applicable rules and regulations, including the Bald Eagle Protection Act, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and the Endangered Species Act. Therefore, the department has determined that the proposed facility would have moderate effects on certain sensitive, unique, endangered, or threatened species.

H. Demands on Environmental Resource of Water, Air, and Energy

The proposed grain sub-terminal would place minor demands on the energy resources in the area, but it is expected that the local utility company would have no difficulty in supplying the electricity needed. The very minor demands on water resources would be met by a local groundwater well.

There would be effects on the air resource in the area of the proposed facility. Air quality permit #3126-00 would contain conditions:

- Limiting opacity.
- Requiring the use of a negative air system and cyclone to control dust from grain receiving.
- Limiting the amount of grain that can be processed in any rolling 12-month period from the facility.
- Requiring reasonable precautions be taken to control emissions of airborne particulate matter, including the use of water and/or chemical dust suppressant as necessary, from road and parking areas.

Therefore, compliance with all permit requirements would result in minor effects on environmental resources.

I. Historical and Archaeological Sites

The department contacted the Historic Preservation Office of the Montana Historical Society (SHPO) in an effort to identify any known historical, cultural, or archaeological sites located on or near the proposed site. The SHPO search revealed several sites of historical, cultural, or archaeological significance found within Section 28, Township 3 North, Range 30 East in Yellowstone County, MT. These sites include the following: two historic railroad stage routes (site #24YL0277 and site #24YL0694), a historic railroad bridge (site #24YL0695), a historic railroad building/structure (site #24YL1379), a historic homestead/farmstead (site #24YL1335), a historic irrigation system (site #24YL0285), and a national historic landmark (Pompeys Pillar). None of these historical sites are located on the site proposed for the grain sub-terminal. However, the proposed location for the grain sub-terminal is within about ¾ of a mile of the Pompeys Pillar National Historic Landmark. Therefore, the department has determined that the proposed project would have a moderate effect on historical and archaeological sites.

SHPO commented that "... based on the presence of significant cultural properties in the area that there is a strong potential for this undertaking to impact cultural properties. Therefore we would recommend that a cultural resource inventory be conducted in order to determine any primary or visual impacts that this undertaking may have on cultural resources in the area."

J. Cumulative and Secondary Impacts

Overall, the proposed facility would result in moderate cumulative and secondary impacts because of the potential effects on species of special concern and historic sites. However, the amount of air emissions from the proposed facility would be well regulated, and seasonal in nature. Air pollution from the facility would be controlled by department-determined BACT and conditions in permit #3126-00. The department expects that this facility would operate in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations as outlined in permit #3126-00.

8. The following table summarizes the potential social and economic effects of the proposed project on the human environment. The "no action" alternative was discussed previously.

Potential Social and Economic Effects										
		Major	Moderate	Minor	None	Unknown	Comments Included			
Α	Social Structures and Mores				✓		yes			
В	Cultural Uniqueness and Diversity		1				yes			
С	Local and State Tax Base and Tax Revenue			1			yes			
D	Agricultural or Industrial Production			1			yes			
Е	Human Health			√			yes			
F	Access to and Quality of Recreational and Wilderness Activities			✓			yes			
G	Quantity and Distribution of Employment			✓			yes			
Н	Distribution of Population				1		yes			
I	Demands for Government Services			✓			yes			
J	Industrial and Commercial Activity			✓			yes			
K	Locally Adopted Environmental Plans and Goals				1		yes			
L	Cumulative and Secondary Impacts			1			yes			

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS ON POTENTIAL SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC EFFECTS: The following comments have been prepared by the department.

A. Social Structures and Mores

The local community is predominantly rural and agricultural in nature. The proposed facility is an agricultural operation and is consistent with the social structures and mores of the local area. There would be no effects on native or traditional lifestyles or communities from the proposed facility.

B. Cultural Uniqueness and Diversity

The local area and community are predominantly rural and agricultural in nature. The proposed facility would effect the cultural uniqueness of the area. The proposed facility would be located within ¾ of a mile of the Pompeys Pillar National Historic Landmark. The department has determined that the proposed project would have moderate effects on cultural uniqueness because of the additional human activity in the area. The proposed facility is an agricultural operation and the culture of the local area is predominantly agricultural in nature. Thus, the department has determined that the proposed project would have no effects on cultural diversity.

In addition, the department received comments from SHPO about the effects on cultural uniqueness and diversity. SHPO commented that "...based on the presence of significant cultural properties in the area that there is a strong potential for this undertaking to impact cultural properties. Therefore we would recommend that a cultural resource inventory be conducted in order to determine any primary or visual impacts that this undertaking may have on cultural resources in the area."

C. Local and State Tax Base and Tax Revenue

The proposed facility would have a minor effect on the local and state tax base and tax revenue. The proposed facility would serve a need and generate local revenue in the process.

D. Agricultural or Industrial Production

The proposed facility would have a minor effect on agricultural production in the area. Farmers in the area would have a local facility to receive, store, and ship their products.

E. Human Health

There could be minor, temporal effects on human health from particulate matter emissions from the proposed grain sub-terminal. Air emissions from the proposed facility are expected to vary by the time of day and year. The proposed facility is not expected to operate 24 hours per day, 365 days per year, even though the worst-case potential emissions are calculated on that basis in the emissions inventory. Air quality permit #3126-00 would contain conditions:

- Limiting opacity.
- Requiring the use of a negative air system and cyclone to control dust from grain receiving.
- Limiting the amount of grain that can be processed in any rolling 12-month period from the facility.
- Requiring reasonable precautions be taken to control emissions of airborne particulate matter, including the use of water and/or chemical dust suppressant as necessary, from road and parking areas

These conditions are designed to be protective of human health. Therefore, compliance with all permit requirements would result in a minor effect on human health.

F. Access to and Quality of Recreational and Wilderness Activities

The proposed grain sub-terminal would have no effect on the access to and quality of wilderness activities because there are no wilderness areas near the proposed facility. The proposed facility would have no effect on the access to local recreational sites. The Pompeys Pillar historic site is located about \(\frac{3}{4} \) mile north of the project site, in an area that is predominantly agricultural in nature. In the department's opinion, a grain elevator is not out of place in an agricultural area located along a major transportation corridor. However, the department believes that the proposed facility would have minor effects on the quality of recreational activities at the Pompeys Pillar National Historic Landmark. There would be effects on the aesthetics of the area from the presence of the facility, as well as from the visible emissions from the facility. United Harvest could minimize aesthetic effects by taking measures to lessen the visibility of the proposed facility. Potential measures could include painting the facility a non-reflective color, or employing screening techniques, such as landscape berms or vegetation. Also, the aesthetic effects would be minimized because the visible emissions would be limited by permit to less than 20% opacity. The noise level from the facility exhaust fan is estimated to be 97 decibels at 5 feet, but this level would be much less once the sound reaches Pompeys Pillar. However, the noise effects could be reduced by employing screening techniques, such as landscape berms or vegetation. Overall, the effects from the proposed facility on the access to and quality of recreational and wilderness activities in the area would be minor.

G. Quantity and Distribution of Employment

There would be a minor effect on employment in the area. There would be approximately 22-26 people employed during the construction phase. After the construction phase, the proposed facility would employ approximately 4 individuals.

H. Distribution of Population

The facility would not affect the normal population distribution in the area.

I. Demands of Government Services

Demands on government services from this facility would be minor. Increases may be seen in grain truck traffic on existing roads in the area while the facility is operating. The acquisition of the appropriate permits by the facility would also require minor services from the government.

J. Industrial and Commercial Activity

Construction and operation of the proposed facility would not result in an increase in the industrial activity in the area. Construction of the proposed facility would result in temporary minor increases in the commercial activity in the area.

K. Locally Adopted Environmental Plans and Goals

The department is not aware of any locally adopted environmental plans or goals. However, the state air quality requirements would protect the local site and the surrounding environment from impacts resulting from the operation of the proposed grain sub-terminal.

L. Cumulative and Secondary Impacts

The department is aware of the proposed BLM interpretative center. There would be effects from the interpretative center proposed by the BLM, if the center is built. The BLM is conducting an environmental assessment on the potential impacts from the proposed interpretative center. The department does not believe that the combined effects from the grain sub-terminal and the interpretative center would be major (i.e., significant). The amount of air emissions from the proposed grain sub-terminal would be well regulated and seasonal in nature. The proposed facility would result in moderate effects on the cultural uniqueness of the area because the proposed facility would be located within ¾ of a mile of the Pompeys Pillar National Historic Landmark. The effects on human health would be minor because air emissions from the proposed facility would be controlled by department-determined BACT and conditions in permit #3126-00. Overall, the cumulative and secondary impacts from this project would result in minor effects to the immediate area.

Recommendation: No EIS is required.

If an EIS is not required, explain why the EA is an appropriate level of analysis: The current action involves issuance of an air quality pre-construction permit for a rail loadout grain sub-terminal. Air quality permit #3126-00 includes conditions and limitations to ensure the facility would operate in compliance with all applicable air quality rules and regulations. The department recognizes the importance of Pompeys Pillar as a cultural and historic resource. However, the potential effects on the resource are not significant. Therefore, an EIS is not required and an EA is the appropriate level of analysis because there are no significant or unknown effects associated with this action.

Other groups or agencies contacted or which may have overlapping jurisdiction: Montana Department of Natural Resources, Montana Natural Heritage Program (MNHP), Historic Preservation Office of the Montana Historical Society (SHPO), U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Individuals or groups contributing to this EA: Montana Department of Environmental Quality, Air and Waste Management Bureau, MNHP, and SHPO.

EA prepared by: Robert K. Jeffrey Original Date: August 28, 2000 Revision Date: September 28, 2000