

## Legislative Finance Committee IT Project Portfolio: Post Implementation Report

1. Agency: DPHHS
2. Project title: MITA 3.0 Assessment
3. Executive sponsor: Mary Dalton
4. Project close date: 09/30/2016
5. Appropriated budget amount: \$338,079  
Contractor budgeted amount: \$303,300  
Internal staff budgeted amount: \$34,779
6. Total project development cost: \$372,079  
Contractor development cost: \$303,300  
Internal staff cost: \$68,779
7. Expected ongoing annual cost: M&O will be included in the annual CHIMES Medicaid/HMK M&O contract
8. Year the ongoing annual cost started: 2016
9. Funding source(s) for ongoing cost: HB2
10. List the primary project goals: Assess the Department's Medicaid Claims payment system (MMIS) and Eligibility and Enrollment system for compliance with CMS's Medicaid Information Technology Architecture Framework.
11. List the key project objectives, the metrics used to measure these objectives, and the final metric results.

	<b>Key Objectives</b>	<b>Metric Used</b>	<b>Final Results</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Complete MITA 3.0 Assessment for MMIS and E&amp;E Systems</b>	<b>Assessment Report Complete</b>	<b>Assessment Report completed and submitted to CMS</b>
<b>2</b>			
<b>3</b>			

12. List and describe all post-implementation issues that have arisen and, if they have been resolved, what was the solution. If they have not been resolved, describe actions taken so far and possible solutions. Also list and describe any possible concerns.

	<b>Start Date</b>	<b>Resolved Date</b>	<b>Issues and Concerns</b>
<b>1</b>			
<b>2</b>			

**Legislative Finance Committee  
IT Project Portfolio: Post Implementation Report**

<b>3</b>			

13. Please add any additional comments the agency would like to provide to the committee, if any.

- a. Project completed, but effort went over projected cost. The contracted costs were within budget. However, we underestimated the effort of internal staff. It was the internal costs that resulted in being over the projected cost.