

MINUTES

MONTANA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 59th LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

JOINT APPROPRIATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE ON LONG RANGE PLANNING

Call to Order: By **CHAIRMAN JACK WELLS**, on February 18, 2005 at 8:10 A.M., in Room 102 Capitol.

ROLL CALL

Members Present:

Rep. Jack Wells, Chairman (R)
Sen. Jon Tester, Vice Chairman (D)
Sen. John Brueggeman (R)
Sen. Mike Cooney (D)
Rep. Carol C. Juneau (D)
Sen. Bob Keenan (R)
Rep. Ralph L. Lenhart (D)
Rep. John E. Witt (R)

Members Excused: None.

Members Absent: None.

Staff Present: Laura Dillon, Committee Secretary
Catherine Duncan, Legislative Branch
Mark Bruno and Amy Carlson, OBPP

Please Note. These are summary minutes. Testimony and discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

Committee Business Summary:

Hearing & Date Posted:
Executive Action: HB 5

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 5

CHAIRMAN WELLS opened the discussion on HB 5. He asked for committee input on the payment of operation and maintenance, since many proposed amendments to the bill concerned those issues. This legislature has assumed the operation and maintenance of the proposals within HB 5 would become the responsibility of the university system.

SEN. TESTER commented that he had many amendments that dealt with operation and maintenance issues. He believed that the operation and maintenance of certain buildings are paid by the state.

SEN. KEENAN felt that perhaps the committee should adopt a resolution, requesting that the audit committee to address the operation and maintenance issues during the interim.

CHAIRMAN WELLS added that such a resolution would address questions of shared costs.

Motion/Vote: **SEN. KEENAN** moved that the Long Range Planning Subcommittee put forth a resolution requesting the Audit Committee prioritize a performance audit on operations and maintenance of state-owned buildings. Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

SEN. KEENAN asked how the resolution affected the operation and maintenance decisions that would be made concerning HB 5 today.

CHAIRMAN WELLS asked the committee if they felt a motion was necessary to identify the policy of the committee regarding operation and maintenance of state-owned buildings included in HB 5.

SEN. TESTER asked if the Budget Office had any recommendations.

Ms. Carlson explained that under current administration, the recommendation is that operation and maintenance of an academic building the legislature chooses to support should be funded by the state. In the case of university buildings, the operation and maintenance is usually handled with a combination of state funds and university fees.

{Tape: 1; Side: A; Approx. Time Counter: 0 - 12.4}

SEN. TESTER asked if it was recommended that buildings currently under construction, which were bonded with the premise that the university would pick up the operation and maintenance, would continue to remain the same.

Ms. Carlson felt that the current policy should remain intact for this session.

CHAIRMAN WELLS asked Ms. Carlson if it was her recommendation that the committee stay with the bill as written.

Ms. Carlson replied that the current administration would support some instances of changing the language in the bill.

CHAIRMAN WELLS commented that changing the language in HB 5 could potentially put an additional burden on the budget. He asked if the budget office had estimates of the change in cost.

Ms. Carlson referred to a spreadsheet, which outlined the costs (Exhibit 1). Only future biennia would be affected by operation and maintenance costs resulting from changes to HB 5.

EXHIBIT(jlh40a01)

CHAIRMAN WELLS asked how the budget office determined the difference between academic and non-academic buildings. He pointed out some projects included in the bill that he did not feel qualified as academic buildings.

{Tape: 1; Side: A; Approx. Time Counter: 12.4 - 19.5}

Ms. Carlson stated that the budget office agreed with the chairman's thoughts on what constituted an academic building in that instance.

Motion: REP. WITT moved that HB 5 BE ADOPTED.

Motion: REP. WITT moved that AMENDMENT HB000518.acd BE ADOPTED.

Discussion:

REP. WITT explained that the amendment (Exhibit 2) would grant authority to the Montana Historical Society building project.

EXHIBIT(jlh40a02)

SEN. BRUEGGEMAN asked if \$20 million in authority would be sufficient for the project.

Arnie Olson, Montana Historical Society, stated that \$20 million would be enough to get keep the project moving for the next couple of years.

Vote: REP. WITT CALLED THE QUESTION ON AMENDMENT HB000518.acd.
Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

Motion: SEN. TESTER moved that AMENDMENT HB000503.agp BE
ADOPTED.

Discussion:

SEN. TESTER explained that this amendment (Exhibit 3) appropriated spending authority to the University of Montana for the construction of the Life Sciences Building.

EXHIBIT(jlh40a03)

George Dennison, President, University of Montana, further explained how the amendment would facilitate the project.

Vote: SEN. BRUEGGEMAN CALLED THE QUESTION ON AMENDMENT HB 000503.agp. Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

SEN. TESTER added that he had four additional amendments dealing with project operation and maintenance.

Motion: SEN. TESTER moved that AMENDMENT HB000514.acd BE
ADOPTED.

Discussion:

SEN. TESTER explained this amendment (Exhibit 4) would augment funding for the Montana Tech Petroleum Building Project, included in HB 540, by adding the project to HB 5. This will allow for authorization of additional funding for the project.

EXHIBIT(jlh40a04)

{Tape: 1; Side: A; Approx. Time Counter: 19.5 - 31}

Cathy Duncan further explained the amendment and explained how this amendment would affect HB 5. (See Exhibit 5).

EXHIBIT(jlh40a05)

Vote: SEN. BRUEGGEMAN CALLED THE QUESTION ON AMENDMENT HB000514.acd. Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

Motion: SEN. BRUEGGEMAN moved that AMENDMENT HB000504.acd BE
ADOPTED.

Discussion:

SEN. BRUEGGEMAN stated that his amendment would include additional spending authority of \$7.5 million for the MSU-Bozeman Animal Bioscience Building in HB 5.

EXHIBIT(jlh40a06)

SEN. COONEY clarified that there would be no general fund obligation resulting from this amendment.

Vote: **SEN. BRUEGGEMAN CALLED THE QUESTION ON AMENDMENT HB000504.acd. Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.**

{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Counter: 0 - 10.2}

Motion: **SEN. BRUEGGEMAN moved that AMENDMENT HB000506.acd BE ADOPTED.**

Discussion:

SEN. BRUEGGEMAN explained that this amendment would give fund-raising authority to MSU-Bozeman for their Native American Student Center.

EXHIBIT(jlh40a07)

CHAIRMAN WELLS asked if a student center qualified as an academic structure.

Ms. Carlson felt that the budget office would agree that the student center is not entirely academic.

SEN. BRUEGGEMAN added that none of his amendments would cover operation and maintenance expenses. Those costs would have to be requested by the applicant to be included in HB 2.

Vote: **REP. WITT CALLED THE QUESTION ON HB000506.acd. Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.**

Motion: **SEN. BRUEGGEMAN moved that AMENDMENT HB000505.acd BE ADOPTED.**

Discussion:

SEN. BRUEGGEMAN explained that this amendment would provide spending authority necessary for MSU to complete an addition to the Museum of the Rockies.

EXHIBIT(jlh40a08)

SEN. KEENAN asked what "higher education funds" referred to.

Ms. Carlson replied that higher education funds include a variety of university funding sources not categorized elsewhere on the funding list.

{SEN. TESTER exited the meeting at 9:00 A.M.}

SEN. COONEY asked if tuition was included in higher education funds.

Rod Sunstead, Montana State University, responded that tuition fees could be included in that category.

Vote: **SEN. BRUEGGEMAN CALLED THE QUESTION ON HB000505.acd.**
Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Counter: 10.2 - 16.8}

Motion: **SEN. BRUEGGEMAN moved that AMENDMENT HB000503.acd BE ADOPTED.**

Discussion:

SEN. BRUEGGEMAN stated that this amendment added \$3 million in authority for the University of Montana Research Lab Facility.

EXHIBIT(jlh40a09)

Mr. Dennison explained that the university is asking for \$3 million in spending authority for this specific project. The general authority granted to the university in HB 5 will be reduced by \$3 million, resulting in no change in the overall amount of authority appropriated for the University of Montana.

SEN. COONEY asked what "non-state revenue" referred to in the written amendment.

Mr. Dennison explained that the operational costs associated with the facility will be paid with research fees.

SEN. COONEY wanted to know how these non-state revenues would be categorized.

Mr. Dennison responded that the would fall under the "other" category of fees. The budget representatives agreed that these fees would not apply towards the spending cap.

Vote: **SEN. BRUEGGEMAN CALLED THE QUESTION ON HB000503.acd.**
Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

Motion: **SEN. BRUEGGEMAN moved that AMENDMENT HB000507.acd BE ADOPTED.**

Discussion:

SEN. BRUEGGEMAN explained that this amendment allows for a funding switch of two Montana State University Projects. This will reflect prioritization of the projects and will not change the overall appropriation.

EXHIBIT(jlh40a10)

Vote: **SEN. BRUEGGEMAN CALLED THE QUESTION ON AMENDMENT HB000507.acd. Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.**

{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Counter: 16.8 - 22.4}

Motion: **SEN. COONEY moved that AMENDMENT HB000507.agp BE ADOPTED.**

Discussion:

SEN. COONEY stated that this amendment would require the state to enter into a contract for roof repairs at the Pine Hills Youth Correction Facility in Miles City, before expending funds on any other roof repair projects.

EXHIBIT(jlh40a11)

CHAIRMAN WELLS asked if anyone knew the estimated costs for this project.

Tom O'Connell, Architecture and Engineering, replied that he did not have any concrete figures, but had heard that the project was estimated to cost about \$200,000. The amendment would, in effect, make the Pine Hills Project the highest-ranking priority of all the roofing projects in the state during the coming biennium.

REP. LENHART asked if any interior damage sustained as a result of the leaky roof would become an additional cost burden.

Mr. O'Connell responded that some interior damage understandably has been sustained by the building. However, this damage is minimal and fixing the roof at this point would reduce the likelihood of any further damage or costs to the state.

Vote: SEN. COONEY CALLED THE QUESTION ON AMENDMENT HB000507.agp.
Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Counter: 22.4 - 28.5}

Motion: REP. WITT moved that REP. WITT AMENDMENT (EXHIBIT 12) BE ADOPTED.

[EXHIBIT\(jlh40a12\)](#)

Discussion:

REP. WITT explained that his amendment would provide funding in the amount of \$650,000 to the Montana Agriculture Experiment Station Projects.

Jeff Jacobsen, Montana State University, Department of Agriculture, stated that HB 5 currently contained language to fund the experiment station \$480,000 for general repair and maintenance. The funding proposed in REP. WITT'S amendment would be in addition to this amount.

SEN. KEENAN asked if the source for the amendment was found on Page 3, Line 20 of HB 5.

REP. WITT replied, "Yes."

CHAIRMAN WELLS stated that in order for the bill to read correctly, Lines 20 and 21 of the bill would need to be deleted and the amendment amount put in on a separate line on Page 7.

SEN. KEENAN asked how much money had gone to the agriculture stations during the current sessions.

REP. WITT responded that \$500,000 has been authorized for the agriculture stations so far this session.

REP. JUNEAU asked how far the funding for the Great Falls College of Technology had been cut as a result of executive action on HB 540.

Ms. Duncan stated that the project had been cut from a starting recommendation of \$16.5 million to an ending amount of \$11 million.

REP. JUNEAU felt the other projects' funding had been cut enough to fund the agricultural station. For this reason, she opposed the amendment.

Tom O'Connell explained that the \$650,000 for the college of technology was no longer necessary in HB 5, due to the changes made to the project during executive action on HB 540.

Vote: **REP. WITT CALLED THE QUESTION. Motion carried 5-3 by voice vote with REP. JUNEAU, SEN. KEENAN, and REP. LENHART voting no. SEN. TESTER voted by proxy.**

{REP. WITT exited the meeting at 9:15 A.M.}

Motion: **SEN. COONEY moved that AMENDMENT HB000520.acd BE ADOPTED.**

Discussion:

SEN. COONEY stated that this amendment (Exhibit 13) would add \$99,950 in Long Range Building Program funds for use on the Virginia and Nevada City Public Restroom Projects. He feels that basic travel needs should be addressed before additional tourists will be attracted to the area.

EXHIBIT(jlh40a13)

REP. JUNEAU asked what kind of effect the amendment would have on HB 5.

Ms. Duncan explained that the funding for the project would come from the Long Range Building Cash Program. The addition of this project to the bill would reduce the ending fund balance and also bring appropriations closer to the spending cap.

{Tape: 2; Side: A; Approx. Time Counter: 0 - 9.9}

REP. JUNEAU opposed the amendment because it would contribute to the spending cap restrictions.

Ms. Carlson commented that the appropriation would be counted in both the current and coming biennia. Because of this, there would not be a significant effect on the spending cap.

Vote: SEN. BRUEGGEMAN CALLED THE QUESTION. Motion carried 6-2 by roll call vote with REP. JUNEAU and SEN. KEENAN voting no. SEN. TESTER and REP. WITT voted by proxy.

{REP. JUNEAU and REP. LENHART exited the meeting at 9:40 A.M.}

Motion: SEN. COONEY moved that AMENDMENT HB000519.acd BE ADOPTED.

Discussion:

SEN. COONEY explained that the amendment (Exhibit 14) would strike some of the language included in HB 5 for building demolition and allow for those funds to be used to stabilize and find alternate uses for the building.

EXHIBIT(jlh40a14)

Ms. Duncan added that the amendment would have no resulting dollar impact on HB 5.

SEN. COONEY commented that he would like to set up a study of historic and cultural properties to be carried out in the interim, should the amendment pass.

Vote: SEN. COONEY CALLED THE QUESTION. Motion carried 7-0 by roll call vote. SEN. TESTER, REP. LENHART and REP. WITT voted by proxy.

{REP. LENHART, REP. JUNEAU and SEN. TESTER entered the meeting at 9:50 A.M.}

Motion: SEN. COONEY moved that AMENDMENT HB000502.ac1 BE ADOPTED.

Discussion:

SEN. COONEY stated that this amendment was a companion to the amendment that was just approved. This amendment (Exhibit 15) would authorize an interim study to identify historic buildings that could be candidates for further utilization. The study is requesting a budget of \$40,000 to lead the commission.

EXHIBIT(jlh40a15)

SEN. LYNDA MOSS, SD 26, explained the review process of the interim commission.

{Tape: 2; Side: A; Approx. Time Counter: 9.9 - 27.9}

SEN. COONEY asked if the \$40,000 would come from the ending fund balance.

Ms. Duncan replied that the money would be taken from the Long Range Building Program ending fund balance.

REP. LENHART asked if the Montana Historical Society was already addressing the issue proposed in the amendment.

SEN. MOSS that there was currently no such review being done on behalf of the historical society. The proposed commission would help to develop a uniform report on these buildings, which could be used by the state and developers.

CHAIRMAN WELLS asked how the state was first made aware of demolition projects.

Mr. O'Connell responded that the demolition projects are brought to the attention of the state through the same application process as other building projects.

{Tape: 2; Side: A; Approx. Time Counter: 27.9 - 30.8}

CHAIRMAN WELLS asked if this amendment was more appropriate for HB 2.

SEN. COONEY responded that the amendment was written specifically to apply to HB 5 because it deals with the funding of a commission. It has been determined that the amendment is in fact appropriate for HB 5.

Vote: **SEN. COONEY CALLED THE QUESTION. Motion carried 6-2 by roll call vote with SEN. KEENAN and REP. WELLS voting no.**

Ms. Duncan distributed an amendment to the committee members. The amendment changes language in the bill to address the operation and maintenance issues. Because of this, amendment HB0005021.acd (Exhibit 16) and the following amendments (Exhibit 17) were addressed conceptually in one motion: HB000509.acd, HB000510.acd and HB000511.acd.

EXHIBIT(j1h40a16)

EXHIBIT(j1h40a17)

Motion: **SEN. TESTER moved that AMENDMENTS HB0005021.acd, HB000509.acd, HB000510.acd, and HB000511.acd BE ADOPTED.**

Discussion:

SEN. TESTER stated that the above amendments would strike the operation and maintenance for their respective projects.

{Tape: 2; Side: B; Approx. Time Counter: 0 - 12.1}

SEN. COONEY asked for clarification of what would happen as a result of passing the amendment.

CHAIRMAN WELLS explained that amendment HB0005021.acd outlines how the operation and maintenance issues will be addressed for the remainder of HB 5 projects.

REP. JUNEAU asked what criteria must be met in order for a building to be considered academic.

Mr. Sundsted explained the process of identifying state academic buildings.

REP. JUNEAU commented that she would not be able to support the amendment if it would result in increased student fees.

SEN. TESTER responded that the three amendments included in his motion will not result in higher tuition costs.

{Tape: 2; Side: B; Approx. Time Counter: 12.1 - 20}

Substitute Motion/Vote: **SEN. KEENAN** made a substitute motion that AMENDMENT HB0005021.acd BE ADOPTED. Substitute motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

Motion: **SEN. TESTER** moved that AMENDMENTS HB000509.acd, HB000510.acd and HB000511.acd BE ADOPTED.

Discussion:

REP. WITT asked for the total amount included in the three amendments.

Mr. Sundsted stated that the total operation and maintenance included for the three projects totaled about \$2.4 million for future biennia.

{REP. LENHART exited the meeting at 10:25}

Vote: **REP. WITT CALLED THE QUESTION.** Motion carried 7-1 by roll call vote with REP. WELLS voting no. REP. LENHART voted by proxy.

Motion: SEN. TESTER moved that HB 5 BE AMENDED to address the operation and maintenance of buildings still under construction in the same manner that it had been in the prior biennium.

Discussion:

SEN. TESTER explained that two University of Montana Projects that had been authorized in the previous biennium, but not yet completed could potentially be affected by the amendments to HB 5. His proposed conceptual amendment would ensure that the operation and maintenance issues on these two buildings is addressed in a manner consistent with the previous biennium.

SEN. TESTER stated that the operation and maintenance costs on these buildings will not impact the budget until the 2009 biennium.

Vote: SEN. BRUEGGEMAN CALLED THE QUESTION. Motion carried 7-1 by roll call vote with REP. WELLS voting no. REP. LENHART voted by proxy.

SEN. BRUEGGEMAN asked if the Montana State University Black Box Theater Project was considered an academic facility.

Bob Lashaway, Facilities Director, Montana State University, explained that although the facility was constructed with non-state funds, it will replace a state owned facility. This results in no operation and maintenance change for the state.

Motion/Vote: SEN. BRUEGGEMAN moved that HB 5 BE AMENDED to strike the operation and maintenance language for the Black Box Theater Project. Motion carried 7-1 by roll call vote with REP. WELLS voting no. REP. LENHART voted by proxy.

{Tape: 2; Side: B; Approx. Time Counter: 20 - 31}

Ms. Duncan distributed a number of additional technical amendments to HB 5.

EXHIBIT(j1h40a18)

Motion/Vote: SEN. BRUEGGEMAN moved that AMENDMENT HB000508.ACD BE ADOPTED. Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

Motion/Vote: SEN. BRUEGGEMAN moved that AMENDMENT HB000506.agp BE ADOPTED. Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

{REP. LENHART exited the meeting at 10:55 A.M.}

Motion/Vote: SEN. BRUEGGEMAN moved that AMENDMENT HB000502.acd BE ADOPTED. Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

Motion/Vote: SEN. KEENAN moved that AMENDMENT HB000512.acd BE ADOPTED. Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

Motion/Vote: SEN. KEENAN moved that AMENDMENT HB000513.acd BE ADOPTED. Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

{Tape: 3; Side: A; Approx. Time Counter: 0 - 10.9}

CHAIRMAN WELLS indicated that the remainder of the amendments were Fish, Wildlife and Parks amendments.

Motion/Vote: SEN. KEENAN moved that AMENDMENT HB000517.acd BE ADOPTED. Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.

Motion: SEN. BRUEGGEMAN moved that AMENDMENT HB000515.acd BE ADOPTED.

Discussion:

Jeff Hagener, Fish Wildlife and Parks, explained that amendments HB000515.acd and HB000516.acd were related. The amendments would enable the agency to sell one of their hatcheries to the Kootenai Tribe for use as a tribal hatchery. The money from this sale will be put towards the development of the Rose Creek Hatchery.

SEN. COONEY commented that these amendments would result in an impact on the spending cap.

Ms. Carlson added that this impact would be split over the next two biennia, so it would not necessarily contribute a significant amount.

CHAIRMAN WELLS asked if the department would be able to get fair market price from the tribe.

Mr. Hagener stated that the property will be appraised and the resulting amount will be determined as price for the facility.

REP. WITT asked if this purchase was discussed during the meeting of the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation Subcommittee.

Mr. Hagener responded that they may have gone over the project as part of an overview.

{REP. JUNEAU exited the meeting at 10:55}

Substitute Motion/Vote: SEN. BRUEGGEMAN made a substitute motion that AMENDMENTS HB000515.acd AND HB000516.acd BE ADOPTED.

Substitute motion carried 5-3 by roll call vote with SEN. COONEY, SEN. KEENAN, and REP. WITT voting no. REP. JUNEAU voted by proxy.

Motion/Vote: SEN. BRUEGGEMAN moved that HB 5 BE AMENDED to change the appropriation included in amendment HB000501.acd from \$40,000 to \$20,000. Motion carried unanimously by roll call vote. REP. JUNEAU voted by proxy.

{Tape: 3; Side: A; Approx. Time Counter: 10.9 - 23.7}

SEN. KEENAN asked Mr. O'Connell if the ending balance in HB 5 was currently at \$346,000.

Mr. O'Connell replied that SEN. KEENAN'S estimate was accurate.

Motion: SEN. KEENAN moved that HB 5 BE AMENDED to include a reduction of funds from Page 5, Line 7. This money, along with some of the ending fund balance, will be distributed to mental health projects included in SB 499. The amendment to HB 5 would be contingent upon passage of SB 499.

Discussion:

{REP. LENHART exited the meeting at 11:00 A.M.}

Mr. Bruno reminded the committee members that pay plan dollars come out of the ending fund balance of HB 5 and it was necessary to leave a certain amount.

Ms. Duncan asked SEN. KEENAN for the reduction of Page 5, Line 11.

SEN. KEENAN stated that the reduction was in the amount of \$666,000.

SEN. BRUEGGEMAN did not feel it was necessary to focus discussion on the exact number amounts at this point.

CHAIRMAN WELLS agreed that the committee should limit their discussion to the concept of SEN. KEENAN'S amendment, rather than focus on the exact numbers.

Ms. Duncan stated that budget staff would be able to come up with more accurate figures for the amendment at a later time.

{Tape: 3; Side: B; Approx. Time Counter: 0 - 7.7}

Ms. Carlson felt that the appropriation for HB 5 would need to be reduced and then included in HB 2 and SEN. KEENAN agreed.

Ms. Carlson added that the money in HB 5 was intended to fund one-time projects. The programs that SEN. KEENAN is proposing be funded through his amendment are ongoing programs.

CHAIRMAN WELLS asked if it was appropriate to reduce the funding figures for a one-time transfer.

SEN. TESTER responded that the committee should be addressing the appropriateness of funding ongoing programs with one-time monies.

SEN. TESTER commented that he would like definite figures on the amendment in writing by the first part of next week.

Ms. Duncan answered that she could supply those figures. She asked for the exact amount the Page 6, Line 10 would be reduced by.

SEN. KEENAN replied that the line will be reduced by \$1 million.

Mr. Bruno stated that the pay plan will cost about \$150,000 over the coming biennium.

Ms. Carlson reminded that committee that the transfer of funds through the SEN. KEENAN amendment would apply to the spending cap.

Vote: SEN. KEENAN CALLED THE QUESTION. Motion carried unanimously by roll call vote. REP. LENHART and REP. JUNEAU voted by proxy.

Motion/Vote: SEN. BRUEGGEMAN moved that HB 5 BE ADOPTED AS AMENDED. Motion carried unanimously by roll call vote. REP. LENHART and REP. JUNEAU voted by proxy.

{Tape: 3; Side: B; Approx. Time Counter: 7.7 - 18}

The meeting was adjourned.

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment: 11:25 A.M.

REP. JACK WELLS, Chairman

LAURA DILLON, Secretary

JW/ld

Additional Exhibits:

EXHIBIT ([j1h40aad0.PDF](#))